AO 187 (Rev. 7/87) Exhibit and Witness List

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES' WITNESS LIST

UNITED STATES V. RAPOWER-3, LLC, ET AL.

Case Number: 2:15-cv-00828 DN

PRESIDING JUDGE					PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY	DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY	
David Nuffer					Erin Healy Gallagher	Denver Snuffer	
TRIAL DATE (S)					COURT REPORTER	COURTROOM DEPUTY	
		oril 2-5, 19-	-20, 23-20	5		Anndrea Sullivan-Bowers	
PLF. NO.	PLF. DEF. DATE MARKED ADMITTED			ADMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF EX	XHIBITS* AND WITNESSES	
					The United States will call the following wi	itnesses live at trial:	
					learning more specific facts of the transaction	will testify about what happened when she in response to his questions about tax law; after is Mr. Johnson proposed, Ms. Anderson told him is solar lens customers to claim the tax benefits he	
					Todd Anderson. Mr. Anderson is a fact witness, and an attorney in in partnership with his wife, Jessica Anderson. We anticipate that Mr. Anderson will testify about what happened when Neldon Johnson asked him for information about tax law; how Mr. Anderson worked with his wife, Jessica Anderson, to deliver that information; what happened after Ms. Anderson fired Mr. Johnson; and the steps Mr. Anderson took once he learned that Mr. Johnson was using a dra letter provided by the Andersons to promote the solar energy scheme.		
					Kenneth Birrell. Mr. Birrell is a fact witness, and an attorney at Kirton McConkie. We anticipate that Mr. Birrel will testify about 1) his engagement with Neldon Johnson's company SOLCO I to provide a memorandum regarding tax issues relating to the sale of solar lenses; 2) what facts and information he was given to prepare the memorandum; 3) that the facts and information he was given are different from the facts he now knows about the solar lenses and transactions regarding the lenses; and 4) that when Mr. Birrell learned his memorandum to promote the solar energy scheme, he and his firm directed Defendants to stop using the memorandum.		
					Cody Buck. Mr. Buck is fact witness, and a CPA who used to work at the accounting firm Mantyla McReynolds. We anticipate that Mr. Buck will testify that he audits companies' financial statements. He was assigned to audit International Automated Systems' books and records, where he learned that Defendants' solar lenses were not placed in service. Because the solar lenses were not placed in service, IAS recorded the deposits it received for the lenses as "deferred income" that could not be recognized by IAS because it might need to be returned to the customers. Mr. Buck then shared his knowledge with Ken Oveson (who also worked at Mantyla McReynolds), when R. Gregory Shepard sought advice from Mr. Oveson.		
					Terri Eppich. Ms. Eppich is an IRS records custodian. We took to provide tax returns requested by coun	anticipate that she will testify about the steps she usel for the United States and will otherwise lay the ords under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R.	

* Include a notation as to the location of any exhibit not held with the case file or not available because of size.



Page 2 of 7 Pages

AO 187A (Rev. 7/87)

		United Stat	es	VS	RaPower-3, LLC	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00828 DN
PLF. NO.	DEF. DATE NO. OFFERED MARKED		ADMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF EXH	IIBITS AND WITNESSES	
					was introduced to Defendants, their solar lenses, promoted with their solar lenses. We anticipate to introduction to Defendants and their solar lenses returns for Defendants' customers claiming tax of result of customers buying lenses; and 3) statem energy technology and the tax benefits they prote technology. Mr. Jameson is expected to testify pr Defendants have designated Mr. Jameson as an cross-examine Mr. Jameson to the extent the Co Neldon Johnson. Neldon Johnson is a Defendant. We expect Mr. owns, and controls, and how, at his direction, the created; the documents he created to further the directed to promote the solar energy scheme; the energy technology and transaction documents un	that Mr. Jameson will testify about his 1) s; 2) his work as a return preparer to prepare tax credits and depreciation (and other) expenses as a lents by Defendants regarding the purported solar moted in connection with their purported egarding his role in customer audits by the IRS. "expert witness," and we expect to voir dire and burt allows him to testify under Fed. R. Evid. 702. Johnson will testify about the entities he created, ey further the solar energy scheme that he solar energy scheme; the sales efforts he has e facts that he knew about the purported solar nderlying the solar energy scheme (which he
					solar energy technology and the tax benefits he p technology; the money he and his entities have r control he has over the entities' funds; and the n Defendants have designated Mr. Johnson as an ' cross-examine Mr. Johnson to the extent the Con-	made pursuant to the solar energy scheme; the nanner in which he directs those funds. "expert witness," and we expect to voir dire and urt allows him to testify under Fed. R. Evid. 702. <i>A</i> r. Johnson's deposition testimony and the Court
					Dr. Thomas Mancini. Dr. Mancini is the United States' expert witness he will testify that 1) Defendants' purported sola or other useable energy from the sun and has not energy technology is not now nor will it ever be converting sunlight into electrical power or othe the reasons for his conclusions.	ar energy technology does not produce electricity t done so; and 2) Defendants' purported solar a commercial-grade dish solar system
					Preston Olsen. Mr. Olsen is a fact witness and an attorney. We introduced to the solar energy scheme by Matthe Defendants, repeatedly over many years, and us reduce his federal income tax liability to \$0, or c statements defendants, or their agents made to h technology and the tax benefits they promoted in	ed the claimed depreciation and tax credits to close to it. Mr. Olsen will also testify about the im regarding the purported solar energy
					Ken Oveson. Mr. Oveson is a fact witness and a now-retired O that he will testify that he was approached by Gr about the defendants' solar lenses and the purpo did not agree with Mr. Shepard's position on the because no lens was placed in service. Mr. Over from Cody Buck.	reg Shepard in 2009 to give advice and consult red tax benefits. Mr. Oveson will testify that he e purported tax benefits of the solar lenses
					JoAnna Perez. Ms. Perez is a paralegal specialist with the Depa that Ms. Perez will provide testimony summariz the sum of depreciation expenses and solar tax or customers between 2013 and 2016 on their tax r multiplied the average tax rate (as published by depreciation expenses claimed for each year to a depreciation and solar energy tax credits claimed	ting voluminous tax returns and a chart showing credits claimed by a subset of Defendants' returns. Ms. Perez will also testify that she the IRS Statistics of Income office) to the arrive at an estimate of tax harm caused by the

Page 3 of 7 Pages

AO 187A (Rev. 7/87)

		United Stat	es	VS	RaPower-3, LLC	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00828 DN
PLF. NO.	MARKED AL		ADMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF EXH	IBITS AND WITNESSES	
					Amanda Reinken. Ms. Reinken is a paralegal specialist with the De that Ms. Reinken will testify regarding: 1) summ records; 2) website captures and website downlo Defendants in December 2017; and 3) how she c customer database to a useable Excel spreadshee number of lenses Defendants reported having sol	hary exhibits she created from voluminous bank bads of statements and information published by converted reports Defendants provided from their et and applied an Excel formula to tally the
					Lamar Roulhac. Mr. Roulhac is a fact witness. We expect Mr. Ro the Defendant's customer database on February 2 and his work extracting the data from the database format.	28, 2018, the circumstances of that collection,
					Robert Rowbotham. Mr. Rowbotham is a fact witness and R. Gregory they co-managed, and owned, an entity known a Mr. Rowbotham to testify that BFS marketed ath nationwide, particularly to high school athletic p his BFS contacts to promote the solar lens schem with BFS. Mr. Rowbotham began purchasing le earliest customers. We also expect that Mr. Row statements regarding the purported solar energy connection with their purported technology.	s "Bigger Faster, Stronger" (BFS). We expect nletic conditioning programs, and equipment orograms across the country. Mr. Shepard used ne to teachers and coaches that were associated onses in 2005, and was one of Defendants'
					Matthew Shepard. Mr. Shepard is a fact witness and R. Gregory Sh Operations" for RaPower-3. We anticipate that h made regarding the purported solar energy techn connection with their purported technology; dire the tasks he performs at R. Gregory Shepard's in through the websites, email, and various social n testify about solar lenses he bought and his active	he will testify regarding statements Defendants toology and the tax benefits they promoted in ections he takes from R. Gregory Shepard; and instruction, for RaPower-3's marketing efforts media platforms. We also expect that he will
					R. Gregory Shepard Mr. Shepard is a Defendant. We expect Mr. Shep Defendants made regarding the purported solar e promoted in connection with their purported tech controls, and how they further the solar energy s to further the solar energy scheme; the sales effo scheme; the facts that he knew about the purport documents underlying the solar energy scheme; t pursuant to the solar energy scheme; the control in which he directs those funds. To the extent the deposition testimony and the Court admits that to call Mr. Shepard to testify live on the same topic	energy technology and the tax benefits they hnology; the entities he created, owns, and cheme at his direction; the documents he created orts he has directed to further the solar energy ed solar energy technology and transaction the money he and his entities have made he has over the entities' funds; and the manner e United States has designated Mr. Shepard's estimony as evidence, the United States will not
					Lynette Williams. Ms. Williams is a fact witness who purchased so anticipate that Ms. Williams will testify about he not made any income from the solar lens purchas her federal income tax liability, and 3) about stat regarding the purported solar energy technology connection with their purported technology.	er 1) solar lens purchase and the fact that she has se, 2) used depreciation and tax credits to reduce tements Defendants, or their agents made to her

AO 187A (Rev. 7/87)

Page 4 of 7 Pages

	United States			VS	. RaPower-3, LLC	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00828 DN
PLF. NO.	MARKED A		ADMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS AND WITNESSES		
					The United States may call the following witnesses live at trial:	
					Records Custodian, Bank of American Fork. We anticipate that this witness will lay the foundation for records from this bank as business records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) declaration that may be used in lieu of live testimony.	
					Records Custodian, Cyprus Credit Union We anticipate that this witness will lay the foundation for records from this bank as business records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) declaration that may be used in lieu of live testimony.	
					Records Custodian, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A We anticipate that this witness will lay the foun records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a b used in lieu of live testimony.	dation for records from this bank as business
					Records Custodian, Key Bank We anticipate that this witness will lay the foundation for records from this bank as business records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) declaration that may be used in lieu of live testimony.	
					Records Custodian, Millard County Credit Union We anticipate that this witness will lay the foundation for records from this bank as business records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) declaration that may be used in lieu of live testimony.	
					Records Custodian, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. We anticipate that this witness will lay the foundation for records from this bank as business records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) declaration that may be used in lieu of live testimony.	
					Records Custodian, Zions First National Bank We anticipate that this witness will lay the foundation for records from this bank as business records under Fed. R. Evid. 803(6). We have a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) declaration that may be used in lieu of live testimony.	
					The United States will call the following witn	esses by deposition designation at trial:
					to him by Defendants and others regarding the p benefits they promoted in connection with their himself and for entities that he owns or controls	aced to the solar energy scheme; statements made purported solar energy technology and the tax purported technology; his lens purchases (for s) from RaPower-3; the tax benefits he claimed as nses for RaPower-3 and his "downline" of people s. Mr. Aulds also testified about having been
					regarding the purported solar energy technology connection with their purported technology; his	me; statements made to him by other Defendants y and the tax benefits they promoted in lens purchases from IAS and RaPower-3; the tax his activities to sell lenses for RaPower-3 and his

Page 5 of 7 Pages

AO 187A (Rev. 7/87)

		United Stat	es	VS	RaPower-3	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00828 DN
PLF. NO.	DEF. NO.	DATE OFFERED	MARKED	ADMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF EXH	IIBITS AND WITNESSES
					to him by Defendants regarding the purported so	ced to the solar energy scheme; statements made olar energy technology and the tax benefits they hnology; his lens purchases from RaPower-3; the ses; his activities to sell lenses for RaPower-3 s because of his sales activities. Mr. Gregg also x court cases arising out of the tax benefits he
					Roger Halverson Mr. Halverson is a fact witness who resides in S CPA whose client bought solar lenses from IAS. Defendants about the solar energy scheme; his c benefits she claimed as a result of buying lenses communications with Defendants after the purch expected to receive based on Defendants' contra	. He testified about statements made to him by lient's lens purchases from RaPower-3; the tax . Mr. Halverson also testified about his hase, regarding the income that his client
					about how he was introduced to Defendants, stat solar energy technology and the tax benefits the technology; his own solar lens purchases (on bel	y promoted in connection with their purported half of himself and an entity he owns and re tax returns for Defendants' customers claiming
					deposition about IAS's role in the solar energy s solar energy scheme through IAS; his ownership members, of IAS; his control of IAS and other c knew about the purported solar energy technolog	b, either personally or with immediate family ompanies Mr. Johnson owns; the facts that IAS gy and transaction documents underlying the onships with other entities that Mr. Johnson owns hts, thorough IAS, to salespeople and customers purported capacity for and likelihood of efits he claims are connected with buying a lens;
					Neldon Johnson (volumes 1 and 2). Neldon Johnson is a Defendant. At his depositio created, owns, and controls, and how, at his dire he created; the documents he created to further t directed to promote the solar energy scheme; the energy technology and transaction documents un commissioned); statements he made to salespeop solar energy technology and the tax benefits he p technology; the money he and his entities have r control he has over the entities' funds; and the m	ction, they further the solar energy scheme that he solar energy scheme; the sales efforts he has e facts that he knew about the purported solar inderlying the solar energy scheme (which he ple, customers and others regarding the purported promoted in connection with their purported nade pursuant to the solar energy scheme; the

Page 6 of 7 Pages

AO 187A (Rev. 7/87)

	United States			VS	s. RaPower-3, LLC CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00828 DN		
PLF. NO.	DEF. DATE MARKED ADMITTED DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS AND WITNESSES						
					no LTB entity has paid a customer for using a le however, that he and others who sell solar lense customers that they "lease out" their lenses to L	other "LTB" entities) exist only on paper and ployees or bank accounts and have undertaken ntity has ever generated revenue from any source; ons for any reason. Mr. Johnson testified, s and the solar energy scheme nonetheless tell TB, LLC. Mr. Johnson also testified about his ' lack of relationships with other entities that Mr. cheme, including IAS and RaPower-3; his out what the LTB entities would purportedly do	
					Frank F. Lunn Mr. Lunn is a fact witness who resides in LeRoy testified in deposition about how he was introdu to him by Defendants regarding the purported so promoted in connection with their purported tec- entities that he owns or controls) from RaPower buying lenses; his activities to sell lenses for Ra bought lenses because of his sales activities. Mr by the IRS and statements Defendants made to h	ced to the solar energy scheme; statements made blar energy technology and the tax benefits they hnology; his lens purchases (for himself and for -3; the tax benefits he claimed as a result of Power-3 and his "downline" of people who . Lunn also testified about having been audited	
					interconnection agreement with PacifiCorp. Ms.	OR, area: Bruce Griswold, Kristopher Bremer, d as to his personal knowledge of PacifiCorp's ading its Rocky Mountain Power business unit. owledge of the process of obtaining a power o Defendant in this case has a power purchase d as to his personal knowledge of the process of nt with PacifiCorp, which would allow an connect to PacifiCorp's electric system. Mr. ge that no Defendant in this case has a generation Whitesmith testified as to her personal ce agreement requests to PacifiCorp, and that no	
					Mike Penn Mr. Penn is a fact witness who resides in Wichit He testified in deposition about how he was intr made to him regarding the purported solar energ connection with the purported technology; his le he claimed as a result of buying lenses; his susp abusive; and his agreement with the IRS's disall of the solar energy scheme.	oduced to the solar energy scheme; statements by technology and the tax benefits promoted in ens purchases from RaPower-3; the tax benefits	
						er-3's role in the solar energy scheme; his role in Power-3; his ownership, either personally or with control of RaPower-3 and other companies Mr. bout the purported solar energy technology and gy scheme; RaPower-3 financials; RaPower-3 n owns and controls, including IAS; his and customers regarding the purported solar oted in connection with their purported mood of producing electricity, and the purported g a lens; and that RaPower-3 has never paid a	

Page 7 of 7 Pages

AO 187A (Rev. 7/87)

	United States			VS.	RaPower-3, LLC	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00828 DN
PLF. NO.	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS AND WIT		HIBITS AND WITNESSES			
					R. Gregory Shepard Mr. Shepard is a Defendant. At his deposition, N Defendants made regarding the purported solar promoted in connection with their purported tec salespeople, and others regarding the purported promoted in connection with their purported tec solar energy scheme; the sales efforts he has dir the facts that he knew about the purported solar underlying the solar energy scheme.	energy technology and the tax benefits they chnology; statements he made to customers, solar energy technology and the tax benefits he chnology; the documents he created to further the rected to organize the solar energy scheme; and
					to him by Defendants regarding the purported so promoted in connection with their purported tec tax benefits he claimed as a result of buying len	need to the solar energy scheme; statements made olar energy technology and the tax benefits they chnology; his lens purchases from RaPower-3; the ses; his activities to sell lenses for RaPower-3 s because of his sales activities. Mr. Zeleznik also