```
157
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF
                        ) Deposition of:
AMERICA,
    Plaintiff,
                       ) KENNETH W. BIRRELL
                       ) Volume 2
vs.
                  ) Time on record: 2 Hours,
RAPOWER3, LLC,
                       ) 39 Minutes
INTERNATIONAL
AUTOMATED SYSTEMS,
INC., LTB1, LLC, R. ) Case No. 2:15-cv-00828 DN
GREGORY SHEPARD,
                       )
                       ) Judge David Nuffer
NELDON JOHNSON and
ROGER FREEBORN,
                       )
    Defendants.
           August 2, 2017 * 8:15 a.m.
    Location: United States Attorney's Office
         111 South Main Street, Suite 1800
               Salt Lake City, Utah
          Reporter: Dawn M. Perry, CSR
    Notary Public in and for the State of Utah
```

- 1 mind, what's the distinction between an opinion
- 2 letter and a memorandum?
- 3 A. So in an opinion letter you set forth an
- opinion where you expressly state, you know, based
- 5 upon the following facts and circumstances or subject
- 6 to the following qualifications, here is the opinion
- 7 that is being set forth. It's typically a much more
- 8 formal arrangement than a memorandum, and typically
- 9 the price for it is very different than a memorandum,
- in terms of what the law firm charges in terms of
- 11 what it -- what it charges for providing that
- 12 service. There is usually a -- for lack of a better
- 13 term, a risk premium as part of the arrangement.
- 14 Q. And an opinion letter also discusses facts
- of a specific proposed transaction, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 O. And so how does that differ from a
- memorandum, in your mind?
- 19 A. So -- I mean, it depends upon the
- 20 memorandum. A memorandum can address a specific
- 21 situation. But in this scenario, the memorandum was
- more of a general summary of the requirements to be
- able to claim an energy tax credit, how the energy
- 24 tax credit was calculated and so forth. And it --
- there were some form transaction documents, and so

- the memorandum said, you know, if -- if the
- transaction is based upon these form transaction
- documents in substantially similar form, then this is
- 4 kind of how the calculations work, and this is
- 5 whether it would qualify, type of stuff. But there
- 6 wasn't -- there wasn't any specific taxpayer that was
- being addressed within the memorandum or any specific
- 8 fact pattern in the memorandum.
- 9 Q. And was there ever a time that you
- 10 explained what a memorandum is to Mr. Clements?
- 11 A. Like I said, I don't remember, you know,
- 12 the extent to which we had discussions on, you know,
- what a memorandum was as opposed to an opinion
- 14 letter. I don't -- I don't remember kind of -- the
- 15 extent to which we had specific discussions on that
- 16 topic.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever talk to anyone else
- 18 related to SOLCO about what a memorandum is versus an
- 19 opinion letter?
- A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall -- well, actually,
- let me ask you this first: Who besides Mr. Clements
- did you speak to with respect to the SOLCO client
- 24 matter?
- 25 A. Neldon Johnson and his wife,

220 five-year property. 2 So, Mr. Birrell, Plaintiff's Exhibit 362, 0. 3 the e-mail, was sent Wednesday, October 31st, 2012. What, if any, follow-up do you recall after this? So after this, again, Glenda Johnson 6 contacted either me or my assistant, requesting some typographical errors be corrected. We made those 8 changes and re-provided them. I do not remember any 9 further contact from anyone associated with SOLCO. 10 I'm handing you what's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 363. Would you take a look at 11 12 that, please, and let me know when you're done? 13 Uh-huh (affirmative). 14 Ο. That's Bates numbers KM164 through 208. 15 Mr. Birrell, this is an e-mail from you to Mr. Clements on November 9, 2012. 17 Do you see that? 18 Α. Yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Α. Yes. 21 Q. And you are sending a new set of documents 22 to Mr. Clements, right? 23 Α. Correct. 24 Do you recall what prompted this e-mail 25 and the changes?

```
235
          Α.
                 No.
2
                 Have you been found liable in any civil
           0.
    lawsuit?
          Α.
                 No.
                 Has there been any public discipline
           Q.
6
    against you by any state licensing entity?
          Α.
                 No.
8
           Q.
                 Since your February sitting, have you been
9
    contacted by the defendants or anyone acting on their
10
    behalf?
11
                 No.
12
                 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: All right. I will
    pass the witness to Mr. Paul.
13
14
                 MR. PAUL: Thank you.
15
                          EXAMINATION
16
    BY MR. PAUL:
17
                 Mr. Birrell, my name is Steven Paul.
           Ο.
18
    represent RaPower3, International Automated Systems,
19
    Inc., LTB1, LLC, and Neldon Johnson in this matter.
    I just have a few questions in follow-up that I would
    like to ask.
22
                 What research do you recall doing to
23
    produce the memorandum?
24
                 So I reviewed the -- what I considered to
25
    be the applicable provisions in the Internal Revenue
```

- 1 code, the Treasury regulations. I did research on
- case law and IRS rulings. I also reviewed materials
- 3 available through our electronic research system, BNA
- 4 Tax Management Portfolios and CCH descriptions of the
- 5 energy tax credit and so forth. I don't remember
- 6 it's exactly everything that I wrote.
- Q. Did you have a research assistant or
- 8 anyone help you with the research?
- 9 A. No, I did the research.
- 10 Q. And who wrote the memorandum?
- 11 A. I did.
- Q. And did you have anybody assist you in
- drafting the memorandum?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. And so you reviewed appropriate provisions
- of the tax code?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And appropriate statutory laws?
- 19 A. I don't think I reviewed anything outside
- of the tax code, in terms of statutes.
- Q. And you reviewed certain case law?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's reflected in your memorandum?
- A. In part, yes.
- Q. And you researched relative tax opinions

237 from the IRS? 2 Α. Yes. Ο. Was the memorandum accurate when you wrote it? I believed so. Α. 6 Q. Was it honest and complete? I believed so. Α. 8 Q. Has anything changed, to your knowledge, 9 between the time that you drafted the memorandum in 10 2012 to the present related to the law applicable to the solar energy credit? 11 12 I do not know if there have been any 13 specific changes. There -- I mean, at the time 14 the -- there was a 30-percent credit for things 15 claimed before January 1st, 2017. I do not remember if that due date has been extended or if there have 17 been other changes. 18 You're not aware of anything else that Ο. would affect the analysis in the memorandum? 19 I am now aware of different factual 21 assumptions and representations upon which I relied 22 that I no longer believe are accurate, but in terms 23 of the legal analysis, I'm not aware of any changes. 24 At the time you submitted the memorandum Ο. 25 to SOLCO, did you expect the client to rely on it?

238 Α. Yes. 2 Were you paid for -- well, was Kirton 0. McConkie paid for the work that was done? Α. Yes. And do you know how much, total, the Q. Kirton McConkie billed for the work? 6 It's in the billing statement. It was Α. 8 almost 5 or \$6,000. And was that paid by the client? Q. 10 I believe so, yes. Do you believe that at the time that you 11 12 drafted the memorandum you had a sufficient understanding of the consumer transactions relating 13 14 to the purchase of the solar lenses to make the 15 conclusions and analysis in the memorandum? 16 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Object to form. 17 MR. HILL: You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: I had an understanding of 19 the proposed transactions based upon the standardized documents. Whether actual transactions follow that 21 form or not, I wouldn't know. 22 Q. (BY MR. PAUL) Do you feel that you had 23 sufficient opportunity to ask questions and obtain 24 clarification of any of the factual issues related to 25 the sale transactions of the solar lenses before you

- wrote your memorandum?
- 2 A. You mean kind of the proposed
- 3 transaction -- the structure that was reflected in
- 4 the transaction documents?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- A. Yes, I was able to discuss that with
- 7 Mr. Clements.
- Q. Do you feel that at the time you drafted
- 9 the memorandum you had been fully apprised of the
- 10 solar business, as described in the memorandum and
- 11 the transaction documents?
- MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Objection.
- 13 Misstates earlier testimony.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I thought that the
- 15 memorandum didn't accurately describe what had been
- represented to me, yes.
- Q. (BY MR. PAUL) And I suppose my question
- is, do you feel that at the time you drafted the
- 19 memorandum you had a sufficient understanding of the
- transactions to be able to offer the opinions that
- 21 are in the memorandum?
- MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: I'm going to object
- to mischaracterization of things that Mr. Birrell has
- 24 testified to or said.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I felt I had enough

- information to draft the memorandum.
- Q. (BY MR. PAUL) And the memorandum was
- meant to be a general overview of the tax benefits
- 4 associated with the solar business that was described
- 5 therein, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And that you recommended that each
- 8 taxpayer should seek advice from its own tax advisor?
- 9 A. I don't believe that's stated in the
- 10 memorandum, but, yes. It might be.
- 11 Q. Is it generally your practice to sort of
- 12 disclaim --
- 13 A. The understanding was that the memorandum
- would be shown to people. Whether they wanted to
- obtain their own independent tax advice or not would
- be up to them to decide. But the memorandum
- expressly says that it cannot be relied upon to avoid
- penalties, which is part of saying it's not an
- opinion letter.
- Q. Okay. And if you still have the exhibits
- in front of you, Exhibit 370.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. If you'll turn to page KM288.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is what you just mentioned, the

- 1 circular 230 disclosure says that it can't be used at
- all to avoid appropriate taxes. Is that what I
- 3 understand that first sentence to be?
- ⁴ A. Yes.
- Q. And then the second sentence -- or, excuse
- 6 me, the third sentence says, "Each taxpayer should
- 7 seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
- 8 circumstances from an independent tax advisor."
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. What's the purpose of including that
- 11 circular 230 disclosure?
- 12 A. So circular 230, as it existed at that
- time, treated almost anything that was put in writing
- 14 as a tax opinion unless you disclaimed it from being
- 15 a tax opinion letter. And so this was to disclaim
- 16 this from being -- I mean, you quite often saw it on
- everybody's e-mails and so forth to clarify that
- anything that was put in writing was not a tax
- opinion that could be relied upon to avoid penalties
- unless you expressly intended to do that and stated
- that in the written document.
- 22 Q. Together with the recommendation that any
- taxpayer that does seek to rely on it, obtain
- independent tax advice?
- 25 A. Well, you have to include that piece there

- 1 as optional, I guess. But, yes.
- Q. To your knowledge, does the tax code
- 3 require solar technology to be operational to qualify
- 4 for the tax credit?
- 5 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Objection. Calls
- 6 for a legal conclusion.
- 7 THE WITNESS: That is my understanding,
- yes, that it's -- the tax credit is there to provide
- 9 an incentive for the creation of alternative forms of
- energy, and so it needs to actually create that
- 11 energy to be useful.
- Q. (BY MR. PAUL) Okay, but does it cover
- research and development of the solar energy?
- 14 A. Certain aspects of the credit could be,
- but not the ones that I was addressing in the
- memorandum, no.
- 17 Q. Is there anything in your memorandum that
- 18 specifically states that the solar technology has to
- be operational before a taxpayer can apply for or
- 20 receive the solar tax credit or depreciation?
- A. I don't remember that being -- well, I
- mean, it says it has to be placed in service. And
- the normal understanding of "placed in service" is
- it's being used in its normal -- for its normal use,
- and the use of a solar lens would be to generate

243 1 energy. 2 Okay. Can it be used for marketing Ο. 3 purposes? 4 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Objection. Calls 5 for a legal conclusion on the spur of the moment. 6 THE WITNESS: I do not believe that using 7 it simply for marketing purposes would qualify for 8 the energy tax credit, no. 9 (BY MR. PAUL) So, in your opinion, you Q. 10 don't think that the solar energy credit or 11 depreciation is available for conceptual energy 12 programs? 13 Α. It could be available. I mean, things 14 produced and conceptual things available for 15 depreciation, yes. Whether something is eligible for 16 depreciation is a completely separate issue than 17 whether something is eligible for an energy tax 18 credit. 19 Okay. So would depreciation be available 20 to an individual under the strategy that's outlined 21 in your memorandum if the solar lenses are used for 22 research and development? 23 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. 24 25 THE WITNESS: Again, to qualify for

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- depreciation the asset has to be placed in service.
- 2 If the use of that asset is in research and
- development, it would be being used in that and it
- 4 potentially could qualify for depreciation, yes.
- 5 Q. (BY MR. PAUL) And the same for marketing,
- 6 depreciation could be taken for an asset that exists
- 7 that is being used for marketing purposes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Objection. Calls
- 10 for a legal conclusion.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, assets used in
- marketing can qualify for depreciation.
- MR. PAUL: Okay. Thank you. Those are
- 14 all my questions.
- 15 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MS. HEALY GALLAGHER:
- Q. Mr. Birrell, what are the facts that you
- assumed or believed to be true that you no longer
- 19 believe are true?
- 20 A. That the solar lenses would be
- incorporated into a larger system that would be able
- to transmit the heat collected by the solar lenses
- and convert that into some form of power, whether
- 24 electric or something else.
- Q. Anything other facts?

```
245
                And that the 1603 grant had actually been
          Α.
2
    received.
                 MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Pass the witness.
                MR. PAUL: I have no further questions.
    don't know if Chris has any questions.
6
                MR. HILL: I do not, but thank you for
7
    asking.
8
                MR. PAUL: I didn't mean you, Chris.
                 THE WITNESS: Didn't want to leave you
10
    out.
                MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: All right.
11
12
    then, I think we are ready to go off the record.
    Before we do that, we'll ask that you read and sign
13
14
    this volume of your deposition as well.
15
                 THE WITNESS: I can -- I will.
16
                MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: Okay. Anything
17
    further before we close?
18
                 MR. PAUL: I don't think so. What about
19
    Friday? Same time, 8:00?
                MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: We'll talk about
21
    that.
22
                MR. MORAN: We'll go off the record.
23
                MS. HEALY GALLAGHER: We are off the
    record.
24
25
                 (Deposition concluded at 10:59 a.m.)
```

```
246
1
                     REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
    STATE OF UTAH
                                SS.
3
    COUNTY OF SALT LAKE
                 I, Dawn M. Perry, Certified Shorthand
5
    Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
    Utah, do hereby certify:
6
                 That prior to being examined, the witness,
    KENNETH W. BIRRELL, was by me duly sworn to tell the
7
    truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
8
                 That said deposition was taken down by me
9
    in stenotype on August 2, 2017, at the place therein
    named, and was thereafter transcribed and that a true
10
    and correct transcription of said testimony is set
    forth in the preceding pages.
11
                 I further certify that, in accordance with
12
    Rule 30(e), a request having been made to review the
    transcript, a reading copy was sent to the witness,
13
    for the witness to read and sign under penalty of
    perjury and then return to me for filing with Erin
14
    Healy Gallagher, Attorney at Law.
15
                 I further certify that I am not kin or
    otherwise associated with any of the parties to said
16
    cause of action and that I am not interested in the
    outcome thereof.
17
                 WITNESS MY HAND this 7th day of August,
18
    2017.
19
20
21
                             Dawn M. Perry, CSR
22
23
24
25
```