Prepared and Submitted by:

Jonathan O. Hafen (6096) (jhafen@parrbrown.com) Jeffery A. Balls (12437) (jballs@parrbrown.com)

PARR BROWN GEE & LOVELESS, P.C.

101 South 200 East, Suite 700

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111-3105

Telephone: (801) 532-7840 Facsimile: (801) 532 7750

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAPOWER-3, LLC; INTERNATIONAL AUTOMATED SYSTEMS, INC.; LTB1, LLC; R. GREGORY SHEPARD; NELDON JOHNSON; and ROGER FREEBORN,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING RECEIVER'S MOTION TO ASSIGN ADDITIONAL JUDGMENTS TO PLAINTIFF, UNITED STATES (DOC. NO. 12)

Civil No. 2:15-cv-00828-DN

District Judge David Nuffer

Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

R. Wayne Klein, the court-appointed receiver, filed a Motion to Assign Additional Judgments to Plaintiff, United States.¹ On May 31, 2022 and December 5, 2022, the court granted two previous motions by the receiver to assign judgments to the United States, subject to certain conditions.² The receiver seeks to assign nine additional judgments he has obtained and three settlement agreements to the United States for collection. No oppositions to the motion

¹("Mot.," Doc. No. 12 .)

²(See Order Granting Receiver's Mot. To Assign Certain Js. To Pl., U.S., Doc. No. 1214; Order Granting Receiver's Mot. To Assign Add'l. J. to Pl. U.S., Doc. No. 1238.)

were filed and the United States supports the motion.³ Where assignment is in the best interest of the receivership estate, the motion is granted.

Through the Receivership Order, subsequently amended by the Corrected Receivership Order ("CRO"), the court created the receivership estate and the receiver took control of the receivership defendants' assets. The CRO gives the receiver "custody, control, and possession of all Receivership Property," and empowers him "to sue for and collect, recover, receive, and take into possession from third parties all Receivership Property." Similarly, the receiver is "authorized, empowered, and directed to . . . prosecute" actions "advisable or proper to recover or conserve Receivership Property," after consultation with counsel for the United States. The CRO also permits the receiver to "transfer, compromise, sell, or otherwise dispose of any Receivership Property, other than real estate, in the ordinary course of business." However, these actions must be "on terms and in the manner the [r]eceiver deems most beneficial to the receivership estate and with due regard for the realization of the true and proper value of such Receivership Property." Lastly, the receiver may take other action "approved by this [c]ourt."

The court granted the receiver leave to commence litigation against various groups, including persons "who received monies or assets" from receivership entities. 12 The receiver

³(Mot. 2, Doc. No. 12__.)

⁴(Doc. No. 490.)

⁵(Doc. No. 491.)

⁶(Mot. 2, Doc. No. 12__.)

⁷(CRO **1** 13(b), Doc. No. 491.)

⁸(*Id*. **P** 59.)

⁹(*Id*. **P** 54.)

 $^{^{10}(}Id.)$

¹¹(*Id*. ₱ 13(r).)

¹²(Doc. No. 673.)

subsequently initiated lawsuits and obtained multiple judgments, including the nine judgments at issue in this motion. The receiver also reached settlement agreements with defendants and persons he threatened to sue, including the two settlement agreements at issue in this motion.

Both the receiver and the United States believe the United States can most "effectively, efficiently, and cost-effectively" collect on these additional judgments and settlement agreements.

The Receiver believes having the United States collect the additional judgments and settlement agreements will yield the greatest return because the collection methods available to the receiver are costly and less effective.

Specifically, hiring outside counsel on an hourly basis is expensive, collection agencies charge high commissions (upward of forty to fifty percent), and selling judgments often results in extremely low bid prices.

In contrast, the United States has more powerful collection tools at its disposal, which it can undertake through salaried employees.

Where assigning the judgments and settlement agreements to the United States will benefit the receivership estate, the receiver's motion¹⁸ is GRANTED. The court orders as follows:

- The nine judgments and two settlement agreements identified by the receiver shall be assigned to the United States.
- The United States shall send a status report to the receiver updating him on the amount collected from each assigned judgment and assigned settlement agreement every six months, commencing six months from the date of this order.
- The receiver shall file a status report with the court informing the court as to the amount the United States has collected on the assigned judgments and settlement

¹³(Mot. 2, Doc. No. 12 .)

 $^{^{14}(}Id.)$

¹⁵(Mot. 5, Doc. No. 12__ (renewing these arguments as provided in its first motion to assign judgments); *see also* Receiver's Mot. To Assign Certain Js. To Pl., U.S. 4, Doc. No. 1200.)

¹⁶(See Receiver's Mot. To Assign Certain Js. To Pl., U.S. 4, Doc. No. 1200.)

¹⁷(*See id.* at 5.)

¹⁸(Doc. No. 12 .)

agreements. The status report shall be filed annually and at such time as the United States has recovered \$14.2 million per the CRO's second distribution priority.			
DATED this	day of	, 2023.	
		BY THE COURT:	
		Daphne A. Oberg United States Magistrate Judge	