EXHIBIT B

Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH INDEX 1 1 2 CENTRAL DIVISION 2 3 3 PAGE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 4 Preston Olsen 5 Plaintiff, Civil No. 5 Examination by Mr. Klein 4 2:15-cv-00828-DN 6 6 7 EXHIBITS 7 RAPOWER-3, LLC; 8 (None marked) INTERNATIONAL AUTOMATED 9 8 SYSTEMS, INC., LTB1, LLC; R. GREGORY SHEPARD; NELDON 10 9 JOHNSON; and ROGER FREEBORN, 11 Judge Nuffer 12 10 Defendants. 13 11 14 DEPOSITION OF PRESTON OLSEN 12 15 13 September 4, 2020 10:04 a.m. 14 Location: Parr Brown Gee & Loveless, P.C. 16 101 South 200 East, Suite 700 17 15 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 16 18 17 19 18 20 19 20 21 21 22 22 Reported by: 23 HEIDI HUNTER, RPR, CSR 23 24 24 25 25 2 4 APPEARANCES 1 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 FOR THE COURT-APPOINTED RECEIVER: 2 3 R. Wavne Klein 3 PRESTON OLSEN, KLEIN & ASSOCIATES 4 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was 4 Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 1836 5 examined and testified as follows: 5 Salt Lake City, UT 84110 6 Tel: 801.824.9616 7 **EXAMINATION** 6 Email: wklein@kleinutah.com 8 BY MR. KLEIN: 7 FOR NELDON JOHNSON: 9 8 Q Mr. Olsen, are you represented by counsel 9 Edwin S. Wall (via telephone) 10 today? WALL LAW OFFICE 11 Α I am not. 10 Attorneys at Law 12 MR. KLEIN: Okay. Ed, you want to go ahead 43 East 400 South 13 and make your appearance? 11 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Tel: 801.746.0900 14 MR. WALL: Certainly. I'm Edwin Wall on 12 15 behalf of Neldon Johnson. ALSO PRESENT: 13 16 MR. KLEIN: Let's me see if I can figure out 14 (None) 17 the volume here. Off the record. 15 16 18 (Off-record discussion.) 17 19 BY MR. KLEIN: 18 20 Mr. Olsen, if you give us your full name. 0 19 21 Yes. It's Preston Frederick Olsen. 20 21 22 0 Are you feeling well today? 22 23 Α 23 24 Are you taking any medications or any reason 24 25 you can't give complete and accurate answers today? 25



Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020

1 I do take medications but I don't think they 1 2 affect my answers. 2 3 Have you ever been deposed before? 4 Α Do what? 4 5 Have you ever been deposed before? 6 6 Α 7 0 So just reminder that we'll try and avoid 7 talking over each other and to give yes no answers 8 8 instead of uh-huh and huh-uh. If you need to take a 9 9 break, let me know. And when any pending questions have 10 10 been answered, we'll take a break, which we'll try to do 11 11 12 periodically. 12 What's your phone number telephone number? 13 13 My personal number is 801-706-4114. 14 14 Α And your email? 15 15 My personal email is preston -- P-R-E-S-T-O-N, 16 16 F as in Frank, X@gmail.com. 17 17 And what's your employment? 18 18 19 I am an attorney. 19 Α With a firm or on your own? 20 20 21 With a firm. 21 Α And what kind of law do you practice? 22 22

I think Matthew Shepard introduced the Α opportunity to participate in purchasing lenses from Neldon Johnson's company. And I believe the first time I met him he came to my office to explain the opportunity.

- 0 "He" being Neldon Johnson?
 - Both, both of them came together. Α

And how did you meet him?

- And so Matt -- did Matt Shepard arrange a meeting and then he came to your office along with Neldon Johnson to explain the solar lenses?
 - Α Yes.
- Q Were these solar lenses you were buying through Rapower?
 - I think in 2009 Rapower hadn't been formed yet. I think it was International Automated Systems.
 - So in the early days you were buying lenses from IAS and then later through Rapower?
 - Α

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

25

6

- And what do you know about IAS?
- I know it's a -- it was public company, that it was developing solar technology.
 - Do you know if IAS had any business other than developing solar technology?
 - I know it had previously worked on some sort

8

1 You've been handed what's been marked as 2 Receiver Exhibit 2204, which is Notice of Deposition of Preston Olsen. Have you seen this before? 3 4

Are you appearing today voluntarily?

I practice public finance.

Α I believe I have.

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

0

Α

Yes.

- And is this a correct copy of the document 0 that you were given?
- I'm not sure. I didn't really look at it closely. It looks fine to me.
- I'll create a pile of your copies of the documents we're going to be talking about today.

How would you describe your knowledge and involvement with Neldon Johnson's solar development?

- I first was introduced to the Johnsons and the technology I believe in 2009. And since then, I've tried to follow as closely as I can the advancements and try to understand the technology and how it can be applied.
 - Q Do you have a technical background?
 - I do not. A
- And who first introduced you to the 20 0 21 technology?
- 22 Α I was first introduced by a man named Matthew 23 Shepard.
- 24 0 When did you first meet Neldon Johnson?
- 25 Α I believe it was in 2009.

of communication technology. I don't know too much 2 more.

3 Have you ever owned stock in International 0 4 Automated Systems?

> Yes. Α

- How did you get that stock? Q
- I purchased it in a brokerage account. Α
 - So you bought the stock on the open market?
- Α
 - And do you still own that stock? 0
- I believe it's been canceled, so I don't A believe I own anything.
- Well, have you sold the stock or do you still have the stock shares that you once purchased?
- I really don't know. I haven't sold anything, Α ever.
- Do you know what other companies that Neldon Johnson has owned or managed?
 - Α I don't.
- Are you familiar with any other companies 0 you've heard that are affiliated with Neldon Johnson? 21
 - I have heard some other names, but right now I can think one called Black Night. That's about it. I don't know off the top of my head.
 - And do you recall anything else about Black



Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020 11 Night? would be my guess. 1 2 Only that I was told I think at one point that 2 And the seminar, was that at the Salt Palace? Α it owned some of the technology, some of the patents. 3 No. The first seminar that I attended was in 3 Α Are you aware that IAS was a publically held Lehi in a conference room near Thanksgiving Point. 4 4 5 5 You also mentioned a site visit. Are these company? 6 Α Yes. I was a shareholder. 6 visits to one of the tower sites near Delta? 7 0 Did you ever read any of the annual reports or 7 Α Yes. quarterly reports that the company produced? 8 How many times have you been out for a site 8 0 visit? 9 I read one or two. 9 10 Did the company send those to you or did you 10 I would estimate four or five times per year until probably 2019 or whenever the property was taken research it your own? 11 11 by the receivership. I don't remember when that was 12 Α I looked on the SEC website. 12 Have you ever been an owner, manager, officer, 13 13 done. director, employer, agent of any of the Neldon Johnson's 14 Have you ever had any dealings with LaGrand 14 0 15 Johnson? 15 companies? 16 16 Α Α I've met him maybe once or twice. But I don't 17 17 0 Have you ever done any legal work for any of know him. 18 Have you ever had any agreements or contracts 18 them? Q 19 19 with him? Α 20 Have you ever received any compensation from 20 Α Not that I'm aware of. Neldon Johnson, his family members, or any of the 21 Any dealings with Randale Johnson? 21 0 companies associated with Neldon Johnson? I've met him but I don't know him well either. 22 22 23 23 I'm giving you copies of a receivership order A No. dated October 31st, 2018, and then the corrected 24 When did you first buy lenses? 24 0 I believe 2009. receivership order dated November 1st, 2018, both in the 25 Α 25 10 12 1 0 And do you remember how many you bought in civil case 2:15-cv-828. 2 2 2009? Have you seen either of these before? 3 3 I don't remember the number of lenses. I Α think the purchase price was \$15,000. 4 4 0 Do you recall which one? 5 Have you made purchases since 2009? 5 0 Α No. 6 Α 6 Tell me when you believe you received a copy. 0 I don't believe I've ever received a copy. 7 How much total would you estimate that you 7 have spent buying lenses? But I did at least once log onto the court website. I 8 9 I don't know an exact number. I would 9 didn't read the entire document but I skimmed through 10 10

estimate \$80.000.

And some of those were from IAS and some from Rapower?

A Yes.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you think any were from any other companies?

> Α I don't think so.

Did you recommend that others purchase lenses and receive commissions on the purchases by others?

Α

0 When did you first meet Glenda Johnson?

I don't recall the first time I met her. Α

Do you recall the circumstances? 0

My guess is that I would have met her either at the first -- I'll call it seminar that Neldon put together or on one of the first tours of the facilities,

And for your information, the corrected receivership order is identical to the original receivership order, it's just corrected for formatting errors, such as -- if you look through, the receivership order, the paragraph numbers are sometimes hard to find or missing.

Α Okay.

Where has been solved with the corrected receivership order.

So these are your copies to have so that -and I encourage you to read it because now that you are on notice of the order, you are subject to its terms.

Have you -- I mean, handing you document be in 444 from the case bought by the United States. Document 444, which is the asset freeze order dated August 22,



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2018. Do you recall whether or not you've ever been
2 given a copy of that?

A I do not.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

18 19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. And I'll put that in a pile of documents for you to make sure that you are aware of it. This is a copy of the initial order and injunction after trial, Docket Number 413, dated June 22, 2018. And do you recall whether or not you've read that?

A I do not.

10 Q Did you attend any of the trial by the United 11 States against Rapower and Neldon Johnson?

A I did.

Q Some, most, all?

14 A I only attended the part where I was a 15 witness.

16 Q And was that in April of 2018, does that sound 17 right?

A That sounds right. I don't know for sure.

Q Are you aware that in June of '18 the defense was granted time to put on its defense and elected not to put on witnesses. Are you aware of that?

A I am aware that they didn't put on witnesses, yes.

Q And at the conclusion of that trial, which was June 22nd, that Judge Nuffer issued some oral findings but I think about two months ago her attorney asked me
 to sign a statement. I knew it was regarding this
 issue, but I didn't know she had been held in contempt.
 I don't remember that.

 $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\text{Okay}}.$ And that's a copy that I will give to you.

I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver Exhibit 2174, which is a notice of lien that is dated August 14th, 2019, and recorded with the Millard County Recorder on August 15th of 2019.

Have you ever seen this before?

A No.

Q Were you aware that -- strike that.

Have you -- how many different locations in Delta have solar trees to your knowledge?

A I don't know how many different parcels they are, but they're all close together in Delta. Some are near a home. There some are a little distance away.

Q Are you aware of one cite that has about 15 solar trees that's sometimes called the research and development site?

A Yes.

Q And then there's another site behind a home?

A Correct.

Q So have you been -- we'll call the first one

14

from the bench and then issued this written order on that last day of trial. Were you aware of that?

A I'm not familiar with the details, but I do know the trial ended.

Q And I'll put that in a pile for you. Are you aware that Glenda Johnson was held in contempt in June of 2018 for violating the receivership order?

A I was not.

Q Here is a copy of that order finding her in contempt, which is Docket Number 701, dated June 25th, 2019.

Have you ever been given a copy of that order?

A I have not.

Q I will add that to your pile. Unfortunately it's going to be a thick reading pile.

Are you aware that Glenda Johnson was held in contempt a second time in July of 2020?

A No.

Q And I'm handing you a copy of that order, Docket Number 947, dated July 6th of 2020. Did you have any sense or hear from anyone that Glenda Johnson was accused of or found in contempt of court for interfering with the receivership's control over assets of the receivership estate?

A I wasn't aware that she was held in contempt,

1 the R&D site. Have you been to that property?

A Yes.

Q Are you aware that that property was owned by International Automated Systems?

A No. I didn't know who owned it.

Q Are you aware that the receiver took control of that property and sold it at a public auction?

A I was not aware of it was sold.

Q I will -- have you seen this document Exhibit 2174 before?

A The one in front of me?

Q

A No.

Q The third page of it says that the property is parcel HD-4658-1. Do you recognize what the property is based on that parcel number?

A No.

Yes.

Q I'll represent to you that this property relates to the R&D tower site. Were you aware that in August of 2019 Glenda Johnson had filed a notice of lien against the tower site?

A No.

Q If you look at Paragraph 4 of this notice of lien, it says that labor and materials for which demand and claim is made, was provided to or at the request of



16

Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020 17 19 was a last month or the month before when Glenda's International Automated Systems, Inc. 1 2 Do you see that? attorney asked me to meet with them to sign a written statement. And then either earlier this week or late 3 Α Yes. last week I called him on the phone. So about the only 4 0 Do you know what position Glenda Johnson had times that I can remember meeting him outside of a tour 5 at IAS? 6 6 or seminar. Α 7 0 Do you know what authority she had on behalf 7 0 So did you call him last week? of IAS to file this notice of lien? 8 Α I called him, yes. 8 And what was the purpose of the call? 9 I do not. 9 After I received the notice of this Do you have any knowledge of what labor or 10 10 0 materials she provided on the property? deposition, I called him to ask if he was also being 11 11 I believe it was the labor to erect the 12 12 deposed. 13 13 What did he say? towers. He said yes. And what role did Glenda Johnson have in 14 14 0 Α And how long was that conversation? erecting the towers? 15 15 My understanding is that she owned the company 16 Maybe five minutes. It wasn't long. Α 16 that was responsible for raising the towers with the And what else did he say? 17 17 0 Not a lot. I had received also like an email construction contract. 18 Α 18 19 Do you know what the name of that company was? 19 for renewal of the company that was transferred from me to him and I didn't have his email address. So I 20 Α forwarded it to Glenda and asked her to forward it on to And where did you get this understanding that 21 21 her company was -- had a contractor to erect the towers? 22 Roger, so I asked him if he had received it. He said 22 She told me this around late fall of last 23 yes. That was about most of our conversation. 23 24 Who else did you talk to about the notice of 24 year. 25 0 2019? 25 deposition? 18 20 1 Α 1 Α 2 Do you know if she provided \$9 million worth 2 When is the last time you talked with Neldon of labor and materials on the tower site? 3 3 Johnson? I don't remember the exact figure but I know 4 4 Last time I talked with Neldon was probably 5 it was substantial. 5 when we met at his attorney's office a month or two ago Do you know Roger Hamblin? to sign the statement. 6 6 7 I know who he is. 7 What do you know about Roger Hamblin's Α involvement in the Neldon Johnson solar lens project? 8 And when did you first hear of him? 8 9 9

A I really don't know the first time I heard of him. I've only met him a few times.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q What's your first recollection of either when you first heard of him or talked with him?

A I don't know when this would have been, probably soon after 2009. But I believe I was introduced to him on one of the tours of the facilities down there in Delta.

Q Do you recall -- leaving aside having met him on one of the tours, do you recall when you next met him or talked with him?

A Probably another tour, another one of the seminars is when I would have run into him again.

Q Okay. Other than tours and seminars, have you ever spoken with Roger Hamblin?

A I -- other than tours and seminars, I've spoken to him I think twice. Once -- I don't know if it

A I don't know anything about his involvement. I assumed he was similar to myself, someone who purchased lenses.

Q What is Anstram Energy?

A Anstram Energy is a company in Nevis.

Q Do you know what type of entity it is?

A I believe it's a Nevis LLC.

Q And do you know when it was formed?

A I think November of 2019.

Q Who formed it to your knowledge?

A I did.

0 Why?

A Glenda had approached me and asked if I would be interested in acquiring her contracts and rights to try to continue to develop the technology. And I said I would be interested. And so I formed that entity to acquire those rights.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Case 2:15-cv-00828-DN-DAO Document 1055-2 Filed 12/29/20 PageID.28031 Page 7 of 37 Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020 21 23 1 0 Whose idea was it to form Anstram? are corporate documents from the files of the --2 Α I think we both decided to form the company to 2 I have seen all of the corporate documents. 3 acquire these rights. 3 Obviously I haven't seen this first page before. Whose idea was it to form the Nevis company? So pages 2 through 8 --4 4 5 I think Neldon suggested that it be formed in 5 Yes. Α Α 6 6 -- you've seen before? Nevis. 0 7 0 A discussion you had with Neldon Johnson? 7 Α I have, yes. Yes. When I met with him, with Glenda, Neldon And where did you get copies of these? 8 Α 8 0 I received these copies from the company that 9 was also there. 9 Α Well, then let's back up. I think you said I contacted to form Anstram Energy. 10 10 that Glenda called you and asked if you would be Do you recall the name of that company? 11 11 interested in buying her rights for the property. No. I could find out. I don't remember. 12 12 Α Do I recall that right? Would it be Prestige Trust Company? 13 13 No. She didn't call me. I don't remember No. That wasn't the name of the company. 14 14 Α with -- I don't remember when we first discussed it. 15 Was it a law firm in Nevis? 15 But we arranged a meeting to meet -- I believe we met at 16 No. I think it's a company in -- I think 16 his attorney's office in -- I think it was in Midvale, 17 they're in San Diego. I think they form entities in 17 it might be Sandy, and both Glenda and Neldon were various jurisdictions. 18 18 19 19

22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there.

0 Who else was there?

21 The only person I remember was Neldon's attorney was there. 22

Steven Paul, Denver Snuffer?

Denver Snuffer. Α

> 0 Do you recall when that meeting was?

How is the name Anstram Energy selected? That was in that meeting -- I'm trying to 20 Α recall if either Neldon or Glenda suggested the name. 21 22 What does it mean? 23 Α I don't know. 24 Nelson formed another company called Anstrum 0 Energy, A-N-S-T-R-U-M. Are you aware of that? 1 I became aware of that the first time during

Α I don't. But it would have been in the fall, late fall last year.

Approximately how far in advance of when 3 4 Anstram was formed?

Α At least a month.

Have you ever formed a company in Nevis 0 before?

7 8

20

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 25 Α I have not.

How did you know how to form a Nevis company?

I looked it up on the internet. A

Did Neldon Snuffer help you form the company? 11 0

12 Α

13 Have you ever been to Nevis?

14 Α

> 0 How did you select a register agent?

I just found them on the internet. Α

I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver Exhibit 2175, which is eight pages of documents, with

the first page on the letterhead of Nevis Island Administration, Ministry of Finance, Statistics and

Economic Planning. 21

> If you'd look through this and tell me which of the pages in this document you've seen before.

The first page is dated June 16, 2020, as it is a letter addressed to me. And then the other pages

my tax court trial. It was brought up by the IRS counsel. Before that I hadn't heard of them before that.

Do you know if the two companies are related? 0

I know this is unrelated. I formed the Anstram Energy, LLC. I don't know anything about the other company.

The fourth, fifth, and six pages of this Exhibit 2175 say they are the articles of organization. Do you see that?

Α

Did you draft these? 0

I did not. 14 Α

> 0 Do you know who did?

16 I don't know who drafted them other than the Α company that I contacted to form the company. 17

Did you review them before they were filed?

I did not. Α

Paragraph 5 under the articles of organization says, "The company shall engage in buying and sales of real estate." Did you ask that that paragraph be included?

Α

0 Did you see this before it was actually filed?



Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020 25 27 1 2019, who were the managers of Anstram? A 2 0 Has Anstram ever owned any real estate? 2 There was only a single member when it was 3 I don't think so. formed. That was myself. Α 3 And is it member managed or manager managed? Do you know of any corporate document for 4 0 4 0 Anstram other than these? 5 I believe it's member managed. 5 Α Did you ever have any other members while you 6 I'm not aware of any others. 6 0 Are you aware, for example, of whether or not 7 0 7 owned it? there's an operating agreement? 8 8 Α 9 Not that I'm aware of. 9 0 Did it ever have any employees when you Α 10 Is there a contract with a registered agent? controlled it? 10 I don't -- there may be. I don't know if Yes. Glenda was made an employee. 11 Α 11 there's a written contract or if it was just part of the And when did she become an employee? 12 12 0 agreement with the company in -- I don't think there is. I don't remember exactly. It would have been 13 Α 13 Do you have any other documents relating to soon after it was formed. 14 14 Anstram Energy other than these? 15 What were her roles as an employee? 15 Well, the company never -- I mean, I wasn't in I don't know. I could look, but not that I'm 16 16 charge of the company long enough to really define 17 17 aware of. roles. But I thought she would help facilitate the If you would look and give them to me I would 18 18 appreciate that. 19 transfer of her contractual rights into the new company 19 and that she would assist in continuing the development 20 Sure. Let me make a note of that. 20 of the technology. Did you give copies of corporate documents to 21 21 And did you have that discussion with her? 22 0 22 anybody else? I gave them to Glenda. 23 Α 23 A And when was that? 24 What else did your discussion with her entail 24 0 0 about her role in the company? 25 Α Probably within a few days after I received 25 26 28 1 them, which I think is around the time the company was 1 I don't remember anything else specifically 2 about her role other than she was to be paid for her formed. 2 transfer of those rights by the development of projects 3 0 And was that November 25th of 2019? and then assigning those projects to her. 4 Α 4 How much would she be paid? 5 5 0 Did you give copy of the corporate documents to Roger Hamblin? Α I don't remember the exact amount, but I 6 6 think -- my mind, I think it was around \$50 million. I 7 I did not. 7 Α don't remember the exact amount. 8 8 0 What were the costs involved in forming 9 9 And are there any written documents relating Anstram? to her employment as an employee of Anstram? I think it costs around -- I would guess 10 10 Α 11 Yes. 11 around \$3,500. Α 12 Does that include a filing fee or is that 12 0 What documents are there? 0 13 There was an employment agreement that I 13 separate? Α 14 Α I think that's the entire cost. 14 signed. 15 Q Does that also include the register agent 15 Do you have a copy of that? Q I do not. fees? 16 Α 16



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0

Α

Q

Α

0

Α

0

Yes.

Who created it?

of that?

But you signed it on behalf of Anstram?

Was it handwritten or typewritten?

I believe it was typewritten.

And so does Glenda -- did Glenda take a copy

I think Glenda created it but I'm not sure.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

A

Α

I believe so.

And who paid those fees?

Do you know how she paid them?

She gave me her credit card.

Glenda paid the fees.

I think that's correct.

And the preparation of the company documents?

I think so. I'm happy to go back and review.

So when Anstram was formed in November of

Case 2:15-cv-00828-DN-DAO Document 1055-2 Filed 12/29/20 PageID.28033 Page 9 of 37 Preston Olsen * September 04, 2020 29 Did she email it to you? 1 1 0 Α 2 2 0 Has that changed since November of 2019? A 3 I'm trying to figure out if you might have a Yes. Sometime in the spring of this year I 0 3 Α transferred the company to Roger -- I don't know if it's 4 copy either. 4 5 I don't. I don't have an electronic copy. We Hamblin or Hamlin. met a few more times at her attorney's office and What's your current authority to act on behalf 6 6 actually once in the home to review documents to sign a 7 7 of Anstram? few documents, and that was one of the documents. 8 I don't believe I have any currently. 8 Α What other documents? So during the time that you owned Anstram, 9 9 0 I briefly reviewed the documents that gave what were its assets? 10 Α 10 Glenda the rights to construct the technology. Primarily I thought the important assets were 11 11 Were these rights from Anstram or is this the agreements that were Glenda's to construct the 12 12 something that existed previously? project and also any intellectual property that Glenda 13 13 Something that existed previously. These were had acquired. Those were the primary assets. 14 14 the contracts that were being transferred to Anstram. So you recall seeing a document whereby Neldon 15 15 Okay. What else? 16 had granted Glenda intellectual property rights to the 16 There were some agreements showing that Neldon 17 technology? 17 Α had -- or one of his entities, I suppose, had assigned 18 Α 18 Yes. Glenda some of the intellectual property years ago and 19 0 19 And did Glenda assign those to Anstram Energy? that that would be acquired by Anstram. 20 20 Α Do you have a copy of that? 21 Is there a document reflecting that she 21 Α I do not. 22 assigned those intellectual property rights to Anstram? 22 23 Did you sign that document? 23 There is. Α Q No. This was a document -- these were 24 Do you have a copy of that? 24 0 25 documents that I reviewed that were -- I guess you could 25 Α I don't. 32 say were assets of the Glenda that she had acquired and 1 1 Do you know if that was recorded with the U.S. was transferring them to Anstram. Patent Office? 2 2 So you were owner and manager of Anstram but 3 3 Α I don't believe it was. you didn't get copies of these documents? 4 4 Was there any discussion about whether or not Glenda kept them. I guess that would be one 5 5 it should be recorded with the patent office? of her roles as the employee. 6 6 Α 7 But you did not get a copy? 7 To your knowledge, if patent rights are assigned, do they need to be registered -- does the 8 A 9 9 assignment need to be registered with the patent office? 0 Any other documents? There were a few mechanics' liens and Glenda I wasn't aware of that. 10 Α 10 explained that work had been done and was owing to her I meant it as a question. 11 0 11 and then that -- those rights would be transferred to 12 12 I don't -- I don't know. I'm not aware of Anstram and that a mechanic's lien would be necessary to that. I thought it could actually be done just by 13 13 possibly enforce those rights in the future. contract. I didn't know that. 14 14 What authority did Glenda have to act on 15 I'm not -- I'm not representing to you what 15 behalf of Anstram Energy? 16 16

Other than employees, that was her role.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- As an employee, what kind of authority did she have? Could she bind Anstram Energy to contracts?
- No. She couldn't sign contracts. She was only an employee.
- So from the formation of Anstram in November of 2019 until you transferred it, was there anyone other than you who had authority to act on behalf of Anstram Energy?
- the patent law is on that. I'm just trying to explore your understanding.

What was the financial condition of Anstram when you had control of it?

- It didn't have -- it didn't have any bank accounts opened or anything at the time I assigned it, didn't have any.
 - Did it have any money? 0
- Α
 - 0 Did it have any assets other than the



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020 33 agreements you've discussed? was she paid any amount? 1 2 Α No. 2 Α No. 3 Did it have any liabilities? 3 Q Was she a W-2 employee? Q Not that I'm aware of. No. The company didn't have any cash flow to 4 Α 4 Α 5 And what business did it conduct between pay salaries yet. 6 November when you formed it and in the spring when you On page 309, Lines 11 through 13, it 6 discusses that -- it says: I'm trying to continue the 7 transferred it? 7 technology in some lawful way. Do you see that? I don't think it conducted any. 8 Α 8 9 0 What experience does Anstram have in the 9 Α Yes. energy industry? 10 0 What did you mean by that? 10 The only experience it would have had would I think I meant that I believe the technology 11 Α 11 Α have been through Glenda and her experience in could be viable and very beneficial. And this appeared 12 12 developing energy and developing the technology. to be a possible way to continue developing the 13 13 technology but without of course doing anything that And what do you understand her experience to 14 14 be with regards to solar technology? would have been prohibited by the courts already. I 15 I believe she has worked with Neldon and her 16 think that's what I meant by this. 16 So what assets or resources would Anstram sons over the last decade plus on developing this 17 17 technology, but I don't know her particular knowledge. Energy need in order to continue developing the solar 18 18 19 To your knowledge, does she have any skills in 19 technology? developing this technology or simply as observing what 20 20 Α We'd need to raise capital. Neldon and his sons have been doing? 21 What else would you need, solar lenses? 21 I think it would need -- I think primarily it A I think primarily observing. I think that 22 22 Α Neldon and his sons are the ones with the -- with the 23 would need the heat exchanging technology and the 23 technical knowledge. 24 turbine technology. 24 Would you need solar lenses? 25 Has anybody ever said anything to you stating 25 34 or implying that Glenda had technical skills or a role I don't know if it would need the solar 1 in developing the technology? 2 No. 3 Α 3 Do you have anything to indicate to you that 4 4 fuels. 5 she does have set skills? 5 0 Would you need patents or licensing rights? No. I believe the skills are primarily with 6 6 Α Yes. 7 Neldon and his sons. 7 0 How many other energy projects has Anstram 8 8 it? 9 9 Α

Energy done?

None. Α

I'm handing you what's been previously marked as Plaintiff Exhibit 973. This is six pages of an excerpt of testimony from the United States Tax Court in on February 3rd of 2020.

Α Yes.

Q Have you read that transcript?

Α

18 So you're familiar with the tax court 19 proceedings?

> Α I am, yes.

On page 309, line 5. Is this where I discussed Glenda Johnson being an employee of Anstram

23 Energy?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24

25

Α Yes.

0 During the time that she was your employee, lenses, depending on whether we would done a project that used solar power or one that used more conventional

Did Anstram raise any capital while you owned

10

12

13

17

20

21

23

24

25

Did Anstram own the heating exchange 0 11 technology?

I thought it owned some of these technologies through Glenda's assignment.

Did you -- do you have a recollection of 14 15 whether or not Glenda had rights to the heat exchanger technology? 16

> Α I thought she did.

Do you believe that Glenn had rights to the 18 turbine technology? 19

> I thought that she did, yes. Α

Have you -- to your knowledge, has a

turbine -- working turbine been created? 22

Α

Have you seen it? 0

I have.



36

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020 37

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

19

20

25

1 0 14 11 1	
1 0 Where did you see	i†?

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 2 Α I've seen it in Delta. Twice I've seen it 3 running.
 - And it's -- are you saying it's your understanding that all the rights to the turbine were assigned to Glenda and that she assigned them to Anstram?
 - That was my understanding, yes. Α
- 9 0 Have you seen a turbine that Nelson Johnson had constructed in 2019? 10
 - I think so, yes. Α
 - Created inside of a shipping container? 0
 - I've seen the one in the shipping container and then I saw a newer version. It was in a machine shop I think in -- I want to say West Valley.
 - Kitco Iron?
 - I don't know the name of it. Α
 - So where -- let's take the first one, the one the container. Where did you see that?
 - That one I saw in Delta. Or I don't know if it's technically Delta. To the west of Delta.
 - And then the newer one, when did you see that?
- 23 Α Sometime in 2019. I don't recall exactly 24 when.
- 25 0 And you saw that at the machine shop?

continue to develop the technology in some lawful way, did you mean just solar technology, or do you mean more than solar technology?

- More than solar technology. Α
- What else besides solar technology?
- Well, the development of the turbine and heat exchangers using the more conventional fuel source, natural gas.
- Would you need -- would Anstram need access to any of the real properties that had been used by Rapower or IAS?
 - Α I think it would be helpful, but I don't know if it was necessary.
- 14 Do you know if Anstram had rights to any of those properties?
 - Α
- 17 No, it didn't or no, you don't know? 0
- No. I don't believe it does have rights to 18 19 those properties.
 - Did you expect that properties for which Glenda recorded a mechanic's lien would be needed by Anstram Energy in order to continue developing any of the technology?
 - Α I don't believe the properties were necessary.
 - Okay. On page 309, again Lines 21, 22.

38

- Α Yes.
- 2 Was it completed?
- It looked like it. 3
- 4 And do you know where that machine is now?
- 5 I do not. A
- Do you believe that machine is an asset of 6 7 Anstram Energy?
 - I don't know if that particular machine is.
 - Do you know what money was used to build that machine?
 - Α No.
 - Do you know whether that machine was built after the receivership order was entered?
 - I don't know. I assume it was built in 2019 if it was in the machine shop, but I don't know.
 - If the receivership order was entered in October of 2018 and the asset freeze in August of 2018, did you give any consideration or have you heard anyone talk about how funding was obtained to continue development of the turbine?
 - I don't know how they funded that.
- Did you ever wonder where the money came from 22 to keep working on the turbine? 23
- 24 Α
- 25 0 When you talked about Anstram intending to

1 Α 0kay. 309.

In fact, starting at Line 16, it discusses 2 lien, a lien. Do you see that?

> Α Yes.

And then the question is asking you about the 0 purpose of the lien. And then your answer is that ${\tt I}$ think there is money owed back to this entity for work that's been done on those properties.

Do you see that?

Α Yes.

When you say "money owed back to this entity," 11 12 what entity are you talking about there?

Anstram Energy.

So your testimony in your tax court trial was that money was owed to Anstram Energy?

Α Yes.

- 17 What money is owed -- did you think was owed to Anstram Energy? 18
 - I thought money was owed through Glenda's assignment of her contracts and rights to Anstram.
- 21 And what work was done that resulted in money being owed to Anstram? 22
- 23 I thought it was the construction of the Α 24 towers.
 - And so how much did you think was owed to 0



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020 43 41 Anstram Energy? 1 Α 1 2 I think the contract was a significant number. 2 0 Did you read the portion receivership order 3 I don't remember the exact amount. stating that all assets of IAS were under the exclusive control of the receiver? Are you talking a million, 10 million, 4 5 50 million? 5 I don't think I read the receivership order. Α Were you aware that the receivership order has 6 Α I don't remember. 6 a section talking about priority of payment of monies 7 0 Look on page 308. 7 recovered by the receiver? 8 8 Α Okay. 9 Line 20. There's a reference there to 9 No. 50 million. Is that the amount that you think is owed 10 0 So then why did you think that Glenda Johnson 10 would still be able to get the 10 million or more from to Anstram? 11 11 IAS even after the receivership was created? 12 Α I think this is a reference, if I'm not 12 incorrect, to the amount that was to be paid to Glenda I thought that -- I honestly thought they had 13 13 to honor contracts that had been made before the for the assignment of her assets. 14 14 Okay. So the amount that's owed to Anstram is 15 receivership was appointed. 15 whatever was owed to Glenda for construction of the And that expectation was without reading the 16 16 17 receivership order, correct? 17 towers? 18 Α Yes. 18 Α Yes. 19 And you think that was a lot but you don't 19 When it talks about the monies owed to Anstram Q remember how much? for the work that's been done on the property, was it 20 20 IAS money that had built those towers? 21 I don't remember. 21 I don't know what been money had built the Do you think it was over a million? 22 Α 22 0 23 Yes. 23 A towers. Over 10? 24 Do you know if Neldon Johnson used his own 24 0 money to build those towers? 25 Α Yes. 25 42 44 1 Who is it that you think owed that money to 1 Α I don't know. 2 2 Do you know whose money who paid for the work? Glenda Johnson? 0 I thought it was International Automated 3 I do not. 3 Α Α 4 Systems. 4 MR. KLEIN: Let's go off the record. 5 And so at the time that Anstram was created in 5 (Off-record discussion.) November of 2019, how could Glenda -- what was Glenda's 6 6 BY MR. KLEIN: 7 right to expect IAS to pay her that money? 7 I'm handing you what's been marked as receiver I thought that she -- her company had done Exhibit 2205. Do you recognize this document? 8 8 9 work on the site and was owed that money for the work. 9 Yes. Α In November of 2019 were you aware that IAS What is it? 10 10 0 had been placed into receivership? It is a declaration from myself. 11 11 Α And this has -- is Document Number 937-3 filed 12 Yes. 12 13 13

Were you aware that IAS would not have an obligation to pay any money to Glenda Johnson except by court order?

Α No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

So was it your understanding that even though IAS was in receivership, that Glenda Johnson still could recover 10 million or more from IAS?

Yes. Α

And where did you get that expectation from? 0

I just thought that that was money that had 22 Α been owed under the contracts. It was still owing. 23

Did you believe that that money would have to be paid even though IAS was in receivership?

June 10th of 2020.

14 Is that your signature on the third page of 15 this document?

Α Yes.

17 0 And is everything in this declaration 18 accurate?

Α I believe it is.

Since signing it have you discovered anything 0 that makes you question the accuracy of what you signed?

I believe this is accurate. Α

How did you come to sign this declaration?

I was contacted by Glenda's attorney. Α

Denver Snuffer or Steven Paul?



16

19

20

21

22

23

24

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

23

45

1 I don't remember which one. I don't think it 2 was Denver.

- Was it a phone call or an email? Q
- A phone call. 4 Α
- 5 What did he say? Sorry. Let me interrupt.
- 6 Α

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

- 0 Has Steven Paul ever represented you as your attorney?
 - Α
 - What did he say when he called you?
- He asked me if I could come by his office and Α sign a declaration.
 - And did he explain what the declaration was about or why you needed to sign a declaration?
- He explained that it was regarding the transfer of assets to Anstram Energy and the recording of liens on real estate.
- And did it surprise you to get that call from 18 19 him?
 - Α A little bit. I didn't think I would have to sign anything.
 - Did he explain any of the things that were happening that had created the need for your declaration?
 - Α I'm sure he did. I don't recall exactly what

think had quite a few to correct what I remember being the events that happened. But I don't remember exactly what they were, but I don't know. I helped him draft the final version. 4

- When you went to Nelson Snuffer and were given this draft, who else was there?
- When I was given the draft, I was sitting in the waiting area. And I met with I believe Steven Paul and discussed changes to the draft that he had created.
 - There in the waiting area?
- Yeah. And then Steven came out, gave me the revised draft to review. And then I think I signed it at that time. And then he asked if I would like to talk to Neldon or Glenda and said that they were there as well. They were in a conference room in the back of the office. So I went back there and asked Neldon basically how he was doing. And Roger Hamblin was there as well.
- You're in the conference room? Hamblin was in the conference room?
- Α Yes.
- 21 So how long was your discussion with Neldon 0 22 and Glenda?
 - Probably 10 or 15 minutes. Α
- And what else was discussed in that 24 0 25 conversation?

46

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

1

progress on any of the things he was working on,

particularly the -- he had discussed a concentrated

photovoltaic tank. And I asked him how that was coming.

He had showed me that previously.

Was it your understanding he was still working on that?

I think I asked him how -- if he had made any

Yes. Α

And where did you get that understanding? 0

Well, the one time I went down to his house to Α review documents, he had a model, I guess I would say, in his garage which he showed me.

And when was that that you went to his house? Would that have been before the trial or after the trial?

It was before the trial. Α

- Okay. So what made you think that in spring of this year Neldon was still working on the photovoltaic system?
- I thought he would still be working on it since it was in his garage. It was something he was passionate about.
- Did you have any understanding about whether or not the receivership order and the asset freeze would have prevented Neldon from continuing work on these

he explained. But I'm sure he did at the time.

- Did you draft this declaration?
- I did not. A
- 3 When did you first see it? 4
- When I went to the office of Snuffer. 5 Α
- Denver -- or Nelson Snuffer? 6 0
- 7
- 8 Did you -- so you weren't emailed a copy of it 9 in advance?
 - I don't think so. Α
 - Did you tell Steven Paul what information you recall so that they could put it in the declaration?
 - Yes. He had already prepared a draft. And when I arrived, I asked him to make a few changes, which he did.
 - Before you arrived, had you told him any information that you would like included in the declaration?
 - Α I don't think so.
- When you arrived at Nelson Snuffer, he showed 20 you a draft, you read it and identified some changes you 21 22 would like made, correct?
 - Correct. Α
- 24 Do you recall what those changes were?
- 25 Α I don't remember exactly the changes, but I

THE REPORTING GROUP

48

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

49..52

projects?

 A No.

- Q I would urge you to read the receivership order. The corrected receivership order is the better one to read.
 - A Okay.
- 7 Q What other -- what else do you remember from 8 that discussion?
 - A Not much.
 - Q Was there any discussion with Neldon or Glenda or Steven Paul about why your declaration was needed?
 - A No, not in the -- not that I remember. I'm sure that he did tell me on the telephone before he got there that it was needed for --
 - Q When you say "he," do you mean Steven Paul?
 - A Yes. I think Steven Paul is the one who called me, but I don't remember -- I honestly don't remember the specifics of why it was needed.
 - Q Okay. Looking at your declaration. In Paragraph 2 --
 - A Yes.
 - Q -- it says, In approximately of 2019 you were contacted by Glenda Johnson explaining she was interested in selling whatever rights she had.
 - And you talked about that earlier. So I want

how things were happening. That would be my guess.

- Q Was there any discussion about what was prompting their desire to sell?
- A No, other than they wanted to see if we could continue to develop the technology and generate some revenues for all of us.
- Q So the time of this call would have been November of 2019, right?
- A It could have been October. It was approximately that time.
- Q But the time of this call, you're aware the receivership order had been entered, right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q The trial had concluded, right?
 - A Yes
- 16 Q And the trial was unfavorable to Neldon and 17 IAS and Rapower, right?
 - A Yes. I don't know if the -- if the appeal had been decided yet, but yes, I knew the trial court was unfavorable.
- Q And was it your understanding that as a result of the receivership order that all assets of IAS, Rapower, and Neldon Johnson were under the control of the receiver?
 - A Yes.

to see whether this helps refresh your recollection about how that contact first occurred.

- A I think the -- I think the very first contact was either Neldon or Glenda called me on the phone and asked me if I wanted to meet at their attorney's office to discuss possibly moving forward with the technology and acquiring Glenda's rights. And I said I would be happy to meet with them.
 - Q Do you recall whether it was Neldon or Glenda?
 - A I don't.
- Q Did that contact surprise you that somebody was -- that they were interested in selling the technology?
- A Yeah, it did actually. I wasn't aware that they were -- one, I didn't know that Glenda had rights and contracts that she had in her own possession. And I didn't know they were looking to transfer them to another entity.
- ${\tt Q} \hspace{0.5cm} {\tt Why} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt do} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt you} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt think} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt Neldon} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt or} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt Glenda} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt contacted} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt you} {\tt ?}$
- A I don't know, other than I had been closely following the technology for at least 10 years by then. And I had been down to talk with Neldon many times about progress of the technology. I would assume I was one of the people who most frequently visited the site to see

- Q So what did you think that -- how did you anticipate that technological development could still occur?
- A I thought that some of the technology, intellectual property, belonged to Glenda. And I didn't think she was subject to the receivership.
- Q So let me try and summarize and you tell me whether or not it is a fair summary. So the time this discussion either October or November of 2019, you knew that trial had ended, a receiver had been appointed, and a receiver had control of all of the company assets and Neldon's assets. But you thought that Glenda had rights to the technology that were not subject to the receivership entity and could still be developed?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Did Neldon or Glenda say something to you to give you that impression?
 - A Yes.
- Q What do you recall being told to you?
 - A More or less exactly what you just summarized.
 - Q And who told you that?
 - A Probably both of them when we met.
- Q Do you recall whether Steven Paul gave you the same impression?
 - A I don't think Steven Paul was at that meeting.



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020 53 1 0 And where was this meeting? 1 2 Α It was at the law offices. 2 3 So it was at Nelson Snuffer's law offices? 3 0 4 A 4 5 5 But just between you and Neldon and Glenda? 6 6 Α Α 7 0 And this was in either October or November of 7 8 2019? 8 9 9 A Yes. So in Paragraph 2 it talks about -- in your 10 10 declaration, it talks about Glenda being interested in 11 11 selling rights, title, or interest she held in the 12 12 business, contracts and assets. 13 13 Does this help you remember anymore about what 14 14 you thought she owned than what we talked about earlier? 15 15 16 16 A 17 And what did you believe was the value of the 17 0 rights, title, and interest that Glenda Johnson had? 18 Α 18 19 I believe that they had enormous value if they 19 could be used to complete the technology and build 20 20 operating power plants. I thought they were worth 21 21 22 22

hundreds of millions of dollars.

And so did you form Anstram Energy to then hold these assets that you were going to acquire?

Α Yes.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24 25 54

And how much did Anstram Energy pay Glenda Johnson for her rights to this technology?

I think the amount was -- I don't remember the contractual amount. I think it was \$50 million to be paid in the future after projects were developed.

Was any money paid at the time? 0

No. Α

And so Anstram Energy would acquire the technology. And then was it your understanding that Glenda would continue to develop it on behalf of Anstram Energy?

I didn't know if Glenda would continue to develop it. But I thought we could raise capital and find engineers and people who could develop it.

But the development was going to occur under the name of Anstram Energy, correct?

Α

18 And were you going to be spearheading that 19 effort?

> Yes. Α

So did you have a business plan for how you were going to develop this property or the technology?

Α

How did you anticipate the development was going to occur?

Well, I thought that we would need to develop a business plan, raise capital, and then finish the technology and build projects.

Where did you anticipate the capital would be raised from?

I thought they would have to approach -- I thought that we would need probably to approach private investors to finish development of the technology. And then hopefully once it was developed we could get funding from sources that would be interested in partnering with the project's development.

I mostly hadn't thought it all the way through and then the entity was transferred -- I transferred it away. And I didn't really complete thinking about it.

You were the sole owner of Anstram initially and you now have what you think is a 50 million-dollar asset, correct?

Yes.

And you planned then to further develop that technology because you had an obligation to repay Glenda \$50 million, right?

Yes. Α

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

I'm trying to understand your thought process about how you were going to accomplish that.

I think I just told you.

Do you have experience in approaching investors and asking them for money to help fund alternative energy development?

> I have some. None of them are successful. Α

How were you planning on approaching the 0 investors or finding investors?

I thought that we could put a business plan together and take it to different venture capital firms.

So it was venture capital firms that you were anticipating approaching?

I don't know. That would have been one of the people we would approach.

Was any work done on preparing a business plan?

Α

Was that something you would have done or you would have had Glenda do it?

I would have done it with Glenda's help, of course.

And when you talk about Glenda being the employee and responsible for sort of implementation of the company's plan, was it your anticipation that Glenda would be doing it herself or that she would be doing it under direction from Neldon?

I don't know if she would be doing under



56

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

25

59

direction from Neldon, but I assumed he would be involved.

So Paragraph 3 of your declaration you say -indicate you were interested and you set up in a meeting. How much time elapsed between the first contact and the actual meeting?

Probably just a few days. Α

And the meeting was with Nelson Snuffer, right?

Α Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'm trying to place when that meeting was. Do you have a sense of how much time elapsed between that meeting and when you actually formed Anstram?

At least a month.

You're estimating that meeting would have taken place in October?

> I am now. Α

And did you make any notes or create any other documents relating to that meeting at Nelson Snuffer?

I would have created notes, yes.

Would you still have those notes? 0

Maybe. 22 Α

> Would you check and --Q

24 Α Sure.

25 -- if so send them to me?

1 For the second meeting, do you recall if 2 anyone else was there other than you, Neldon, and Glenda?

Α

0 And I should back up. Do you recall Neldon being at the second meeting?

Α Yes.

So you second meeting you think it was with you, Neldon, and Glenda?

Α Correct.

Was the second meeting to flesh out details, to change direction, or just -- what happened at the second meeting as opposed to the first meeting?

I really don't remember, but you're probably correct that it was to flesh more details out.

Do you recall whether you exchanged any emails with Glenda between the first and the second meeting?

No, I don't recall. We did exchange emails, but I don't recall if it was specifically between those meetinas.

21 Will you check to see if you have emails with 22 Glenda about that?

> Α Uh-huh.

24 So Paragraph 4 talks about forming Anstram 0 25 Energy.

58

After that first meeting, which you think was in October with Nelson Snuffer at Nelson Snuffer, did you have any other meetings with Glenda before you actually formed Anstram?

I know we met two or three more times, but I don't know -- we probably met at least one other time before the entity was formed and then a few times after.

Will you tell me then your best recollection of what happened at each stage of the process because there was the initial contact from either Neldon or Glenda asking if you were interested, and then you had the meeting at Nelson Snuffer, and then you think perhaps one additional meeting, and then Anstram was formed; is that fair?

Α Yes.

So we've already talked about the initial call from either Neldon or Glenda. We've talked about meeting at Nelson Snuffer. How much of the discussion about what was going to be -- about forming Anstram and what was going to be transferred to Anstram happened at the first meeting or the second meeting?

I think it was discussed at both meetings. Α

Do you recall where the second meeting was held?

> I think we always met at the law firm. Α

1 Α

> 2 When did you form the -- make the decision to form Anstram Energy? 3

> > Α Probably in that second meeting.

And your recollection is that Neldon suggested 0 forming it as a Nevis company?

Yes. Α

And that Neldon suggested the name "Anstram"? 0

I don't know if it was Neldon or Glenda who Α suggested it but one of them did.

In Paragraph 4 the second sentence says: We also discussed liens against the real estate.

Does the "we" mean you, Glenda and Neldon?

Α

0 So when was the discussion about liens against the real estate?

This would have been after the entity was formed, and we met to transfer contracts and intellectual property to Anstram.

20 So a discussion about lien was after Anstram 21 was formed?

Α

Did you suggest to Glenda that she create leans on the real estate? 24

Α



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

20

21

22 23

24

25

62

Q Were you aware during the time of these two meetings that the real estate was included in the asset freeze? A Yes.

Q So did you have any discussion with Neldon or Glenda about whether or not the real estate was subject to the asset freeze?

A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What did they say?

A That it was subject to the asset freeze, something along those lines. That it was part of the receivership.

Q Was there a discussion between you and them about what affect that would have on Anstram's ability to get a lien on the real estate?

A No.

0

Did you think the liens would be valid?

A Yes.

Q In that same second sentence in Paragraph 4, it says: Discuss liens against the real estate to secure amounts we felt were owed to Glenda Johnson.

What are the amounts that you felt were owed to Glenda Johnson?

A The amounts that were owed under the contracts she had to build the towers.

Q And so -- but I read this is saying you had your own feelings about what was owed to Glenda Johnson. What was the basis for your feelings about what was owed to Glenda Johnson?

A Those contracts that were to Glenda for construction of the towers.

Q What's the basis for your belief about the value of those contracts?

A The contracts themselves.

Q And what documents were created to memorialize the agreement you had with Glenda Johnson? You already talked about the employment agreement.

Are there any other agreements?

A Yes. There was an assignment of her rights to Anstram Energy.

Q You've described the objective was for Anstram Energy to develop this technology and then it would -- once it was developed would pay Glenda Johnson 50 million, right?

A Correct.

Q Is that agreement between you and Glenda Johnson written?

A I think it's part of the assignment. We had one agreement.

You'd expect that be part of the assignment

1 from Glenda Johnson to Anstram Energy?

A Correct.

0 You think that document is written?

A Yes.

Q Do you have a copy of that?

A I don't.

Q Do you remember when you signed it?

A I guess it's December. It would have been soon after the entity was formed.

Q So does that agreement, for example, talk about what the obligations are of all the parties?

A Yes

Q Does it talk about benchmarks for what development is going to be done and by whom and when?

A No. It's not that detailed.

Q What do you recall it including?

A I recall that it was a simple assignment from Glenda to Anstram and a simple statement that she would be paid in the future by project development.

Q We're talking about a contract worth \$50 million or more and you're saying that it's just a very simple bear bones agreement that assigns her rights to Anstram?

A Yes.

Q How long -- how long is the document?

1 A I don't remember, a few pages. It's not very

2 long.

Q Who created that document?

4 A Glenda.

5 Q And you think you signed it?

A Yes.

A I think I stated December.

0 But it was after Anstram was formed?

A Yes.

11 Q Do you recall whether or not the agreement 12 specifies any rights that Glenda Johnson has to get 13 information about Anstram's progress?

A No

Q Do you recall whether or not it has any benchmarks to measure Anstram's progress?

A No

18 Q Do you know if there are any deadlines that 19 exist for performance?

A No.

Q Does the contract provide what happens in event of a breach or what would constitute a breach?

A I think it had a default section, but I don't recall what was in there.

Q Was one of the terms of the agreement that



64

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

Anstram Energy at some point in the future would convey real estate technology back to Glenda?

> Yes. Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Earlier I think you said that -- your recollection is that under the agreement would you pay 50 million-dollar to Glenda as the technology was developed, right?

Correct. Α

- So was the idea that as Anstram developed the technology, it would give \$50 million to Glenda but Anstram would continue to own the technology?
- The agreement was that Glenda would receive \$50 million, but it would be in the form of completed projects that were of that value. And Anstram would continue after that to develop technology, yes, or projects.
- If Anstram was formed so that Glenda could transfer technology to Anstram and then Anstram would develop the continuing with Glenda's help and then give it back to Glenda when technology was developed, why create Anstram at all? Why was it being transferred to you instead of Glenda just continuing to do it on her own?
 - I don't know the answer to that. Α
 - Well, you were part of it. I'm trying to

That's correct. Α

- 2 I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver 0 3 Exhibit 2160 and ask if you've seen that before.

 - This is a notice of lien recorded with Millard 0 County on December 19, 2019 and it is 26 pages.
 - I don't think I've seen this entire document before.
 - Okay. Tell me what you recall having seen. 0
 - I recall having seen the first pages, first Α three pages.
 - 0 Is that your signature on page 2?
 - Α
 - So page 1 says "Notice of lien," and then 0 Paragraph 1 says that the claimant, who is Anstram Energy, correct?
 - Α Yes.
- 18 Received an assignment from Glenda Johnson of 19 all of her contract rights, including obligations involving these properties. 20

Did I read that correctly?

Α

Α

Now, it talks about an assignment. Is this Q document itself the assignment or is this just referencing the assignment we were just talking about?

66

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 discussed. 3

So you think there's a separate written 0 document that is an assignment from Glenda to Anstram?

Α

- But you don't have a copy? 0
- Α I don't have a copy.
 - What prompted you to sign this notice of lien?

This is referencing the assignment we just

I think it was explained to me that the rights that were transferred to Anstram included contracts that were for the construction of the towers. And that the entity had not been paid for all the work that had been done in the construction of those towers. And so filing a lien would be necessary to secure those amounts that were still owing.

- On the first page of the notice of lien the bottom of the typewritten says: The properties are described in Exhibits A through K. Do you see that?
 - Α Yes.
- 0 And then among the exhibits, they are labeled as Exhibits A, B, C, et cetera. Do you see that?
 - Α
- When you signed this document, did you know or expect that there were going to be exhibits identifying properties?

understand your expectation about why Anstram was a necessary component of this development plan.

- If think you're asking me to decide why Glenda wanted to transfer it, I don't know. But I wanted to be part of the development, so I was happy to create the entity and try to move forward.
- Would Anstram retain benefits after it transferred technology back to Glenda?
 - Yes. A
- What was your expectation about what Anstram 0 would own after paying Glenda back?
- We would continue to own everything that it had been transferred to that entity.
- So you expected that Anstram Energy was going to get projects and technology valued in the tens of millions that you would still own after you transferred projects back to Glenda?
 - Α Yes.
- And so what were you paying in order to get this technology worth tens of millions?
- Just the expectation of the future to assign projects of that value back to Glenda.
- And out of pocket, you were paying nothing to get this expectation of tens of millions of dollars in technology?

THE REPORTING GROUP

68

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

6

8

14

15

18

19

20

23

70

1 A I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q I will represent to you that when we go through Exhibits A through K, which are actually 11 different exhibits, that there are a total of 15 different property parcels. For example, Exhibit K lists three different property parcels, which is on page 25 of 26. Do you see that?

A Exhibit K.

Q The last two wages in the document.

A I see that.

Q So I'll represent to you that there are 15 different parcels reflected in these exhibits.

Are you saying that these liens there are to compensate Glenda for construction work that had been done on towers?

A Yes.

Q Is it your understanding that towers were constructed on all 15 properties?

A I don't -- I don't know that.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathtt{How}}$ many properties are you aware that have towers on?

A I don't know how many parcels make up the property that have the towers on it.

Q Do you think that there would be any -- Anstram would have any rights to assert liens against

that do not have towers?

A I don't know because some of the towers were assembled but not fully built. And I don't know how the parcels -- I don't know the -- I don't know the length of the parcels, how they're made up. But I agree they should only have been liened if work had been done on those sites.

Q Do you have any -- you or Anstram Energy have any records that show what work is done at each of the sites identified in this notice of lien?

A When I met with Glenda and Neldon they had all of the contracts showing the amounts that were owed for construction. And I agreed that liens should be placed on properties where construction had been done and owing. And Glenda prepared the notice of lien, and I'm not familiar with the properties, so she was to file them on the properties where work had been done.

Q On page 2 this indicates that you signed it on December 18th at 2019. Does that sound right?

A Yes.

Q And at the time you signed this, are you saying you think it was only these first two pages and maybe the first page following Exhibit A?

A I don't believe it had all of the legal descriptions on it.

properties that did not have towers on them?

A I don't think so.

Q Do you know if any of these properties do not include towers?

A I don't know.

Q But you signed this asserting a lien and that Anstram was owed money for asserting a lien on 15 properties, right?

A I did sign this, yes.

Q And at the time you signed it, were you asserting that Anstram Energy had a lien on all 15 properties?

A I didn't have all the exhibits. And my understanding was that Glenda would attach the exhibits where the towers had been erected or constructed.

Q Are you saying now that your -- you would be surprised if some of these properties on which liens were filed did not have towers?

A I would be surprised if there was no work done by Glenda's entities at those sites, yes.

Q Would you agree that liens on properties that did not have towers were not properly liened?

A I agree -- if no work was done at those sites, yes, it shouldn't have been liened.

Q Do you know of any work that was done at sites

1 $\,$ Q $\,$ Do you remember seeing more than the first two

2 pages?

A No.

Q Do you recall where you signed -- where you were when you signed this document?

A Yes. It was at the -- the law firm offices.

7 0 At Nelson Snuffer?

A Yes.

9 Q And was Glenda Johnson there?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Was Neldon there?

12 A Yes

13 Q Was this -- and this is on December 18th,

correct?

A Yes.

16 Q And who made arrangements to use Nelson Snuffer offices?

A Glenda and Neldon.

Q Was a notary an employee of Nelson Snuffer?

A I think so.

21 Q Did you meet with Steven Paul or Denver

22 Snuffer at that time?

A I don't remember them being there.

Q What else happened during that meeting when you signed this document?



72

- Q And you reviewed them at that time, right?
- A Yes.

- Q But you do not have copies, correct?
- 11 A I do not have copies.
 - Q Did you ever have copies?
- **A No.**
 - Q Any other liens signed at that time?
 - A I don't remember. I think I signed more than one. But I don't remember if it was at the same date.
 - Q To what extent was this lien an attempt to interfere with the receiver's efforts to take control of their properties?
 - A It certainly wasn't my intent. I thought this would be amounts owing that was outside of the receivership.
 - Q Did you undertake any efforts to determine whether or not filing these liens would interfere with the receiver's control of the properties?

part of the asset freeze. I'm not aware of that.

- Q Were you aware that the receivership order has an expressed prohibition against anyone interfering with the receiver's efforts to take control of the properties?
 - A No.
- 7 Q Did anybody make statements to you to the 8 effect that these liens would not violate the 9 receivership order?
 - A No. I don't think we discussed it. Honestly I thought this was done -- these contracts were prior to the receivership. I thought they were valid.
 - Q Your signature on page 2 here indicate that you are verifying the accuracy of information and notice of lien? Let me rephrase the question.
 - A Uh-huh.
 - Q What do you understand your signature meant by affixing it on page 2 of this document?
 - A My understanding was that there were amounts owed to Glenda that were being assigned to Anstram based on the construction of the towers, and that Glenda would file mechanics liens on those properties where the work had been completed, and she would attach the legal descriptions for those properties and file it.
 - Q Was it your understanding by signing page 2

A No.

Q Did you do any research to try and find out whether these -- filing these liens would violate the receivership order?

- A I did not.
- 0 Why not?
- A I thought that the contracts that Glenda had that was being assigned to Anstram preceded the receivership. I thought they would be not part of the receivership. I didn't think Glenda was a part of receivership. I thought these contracts predated everything. I thought that they would be still owing.
- Q But you knew that receivership order had been entered?
 - A Yes.
- Q And did you know that properties owned by Glenda Johnson were specifically listed in the receivership order as subject to the asset freeze?
- ${\tt A} \quad {\tt I} \mbox{ didn't know that Glenda was part of the asset freeze.}$
- Q Did you know that real estate owned by Glenda Johnson was specifically identified in the receivership order and identifies as being subject to the asset freeze?
 - A I didn't think any of Glenda's assets were

that you were affirming that the properties described in Exhibits A through K were property subjects of the subject of liens owned by Anstram Energy?

- A That was what I understood would happen, that these would be filed on the correct properties.
- Q Have you seen a copy of this document previously that is complete with the recording data on it and all the exhibits attached?
 - A I don't think so.
- Q So is it your recollection that you just signed the first two pages, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q And is it your expectation that Glenda would have affixed the exhibits and then recorded it?
 - A Yes.
- Q Have you visited the 15 properties described in Exhibits A through K?
 - A I don't know.
- Q How many properties have you visited in Millard County belonging to or relating to Neldon Johnson and Glenda Johnson?
- A I visited the -- I guess the site where they have the fabrication machines and then the various sites that we discussed, the research and development site.
 - And then there's the site that has a -- I



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020 77

2

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

78

think it has a single family home on it, and then behind 1 2 that there's a large amount of land that has towers partially constructed. 3

Q Let's quickly do two more exhibits and then we'll break for lunch. I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver Exhibit 2170. This is notice of lien. And it has a recording stamp with the Utah County Recorder dated December 19, 2019. It is three pages long. Have you seen this before?

Yes. Α

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Is that your signature on page 2? 0

A

Do you know what property in Utah County is the subject of this lien?

My understanding it was a property where a few towers had been built.

If you look at the third page, it says, This is Lot 6, Plat A, West Mountain Estates Amended Subdivision. And I'll represent to you that this is a 5-acre parcel in West Payson where Neldon and Glenda Johnson live.

Have you ever been to their home in Payson?

I have been to their home. A

I'll represent that that is the property that is the subject of this lien. To your knowledge, were

improper?

Α Yes.

3 I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver 2171, which is a notice of lien filed with the county clerk for Howard County, Texas, on January 14 of 2020. 6 This is a five-page document.

Have you seen this before?

I have seen the first two pages before.

0 Is that your signature on page 2?

Yes. Α

Is it your recollection that only the -- you 11 only saw the first two pages when you signed it?

> Yes, that's correct. Α

And this is for property in Texas. On the first page it identifies the Exhibit A is being 608 acres and Exhibit B being 18 acres.

Do you see that?

Yes. Α

19 Do you recognize what property is referenced 0 20 here?

I don't know the specific property. But my understanding was that some towers work had been done in Texas. And so if mechanics liens would be filed on work that was owed at these properties.

What made you believe that work had been done

there any solar towers erected there?

Not at their home. I haven't seen any there.

How much do you think their home is worth?

4 A I have no idea.

Do you think it was worth that

2 million-dollar?

I don't think it's worth \$2 million, no.

When you signed this document, do you believe that all three pages were part of the document?

I don't think that the third page was part of it.

Okay. The first page identifies Exhibit A and lists the serial number and it says 5.25 acres. Do you see that?

Α Yes.

Q Was that there when you signed this document?

Α

And what did you understand the purpose of this lien was for?

My understanding was that this was another property that had some tower development on it that would be part of the assets that were assigned to Anstram and were monies still owing.

And if there were no tower development on this property in Payson, do you agree that the lien was

on towers in Texas?

I just trusted that Glenda told me that it had been done and she had the contracts.

Did she tell you that work had been done on 4 0 5 towers in Texas?

> Yes. Α

Did she tell you that the work had been done 0 on towers in Payson?

She told me that work had been done on all the properties that liens were being filed on.

> Have you ever been to the property in Texas? 0

Α

So if there were no work on towers in Texas, would you agree this lien was improperly filed?

Α

Do you know who the owner is of the property 16 Q 17 in Texas?

I don't. I would have assumed it was International Automated Systems.

I'll represent to that the property in Texas is titled in the name of MP Johnson Family Limited Partnership. Have you ever heard of that entity?

Α

To your knowledge, did Glenda Johnson own any interest in the MP Johnson Family Limited Partnership?



80

Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020 83 81

signature for this one. Does that help you remember if 1 A I don't even know what that is. Do you know what rights she had to assert a -it was at Nelson Snuffer? 2 3 to claim that she had done work and had the ability to 3 Α It most likely was, yes. grant a lien on property that she didn't own? Do you remember anything about that January 4 4 meeting and why it was necessary? 5 I thought that her company or herself had done I don't remember if Neldon or Glenda reached work erecting towers at the sites where the liens were 6 6 to be filed. That was our discussion. out to me and asked if we could meet at the law firm's 7 7 Looking at the fourth paragraph. Do you see offices, and I agreed to meet with them. 8 8 Did you have an independent recollection of 9 the amount of the mechanic's lien? 9 10 Yes. 10 that meeting? What's that amount? Not particularly independent from the other 11 Α 11 12 Α \$10 million. 12 meetings. Is it your understanding that Glenda Johnson 13 13 0 Do you still own Anstram Energy? or her company had done \$10 million worth of work on 14 Α No. 14 this property in Texas? 15 Who does? 15 Α 16 I believe Roger Hamblin. 16 Yes. Α And when you sold Anstram Energy to Roger Where did you get that information? 17 17 0 0 Hamblin, what did you tell him about the underlying That was represented to me by Glenda and also 18 18 it appeared possible under the contracts that were being 19 19 agreement with Glenda Johnson? assigned to Anstram. 20 20 I didn't tell him anything. Did you tell him that there was an agreement So you think it may have been referenced in 21 21 between Glenda Johnson and Anstram about what Anstram's 22 some of the documents that you saw but didn't take a 22 23 23 obligations were to Glenda? copy of? 24 24 Α Correct. Α No. 25 25 MR. KLEIN: Let's take a break for lunch. 0 Did you tell him about Glenda's employment 82 84 (Recess from 12:28 to 1:18.) 1 agreement? 2 MR. WALL: I'll note for the record Mr. Walls 2 Α 3 Did you tell him about any of the liens? 3 is not present. 0 4 BY MR. KLEIN: 4 Α I did not. 5 Mr. Olsen, during lunch did you speak with 5 Do you remember anything that you told him? 0 0 anyone else about this matter? I didn't even meet with him. 6 6 Α 7 Then how is it you could sell him a company 7 8 Before lunch we were talking about the notice and never meet with him? 9 of lien against the Texas property. Do you remember 9 I think in February Glenda contacted me. And I don't remember if she discussed on the phone, but 10 10 that? Neldon and Glenda came to my house and asked me if I 11 11 A Yes. would transfer the company, which was a bit of a shock 12 Can you pull that exhibit back, which is 2171. 12 On page 2, this says it was signed on to me. And then ultimately I agreed that I would. So I 13 13 January 9th of 2020. Do you see that? drafted a simple transfer document and signed it. 14 14 This morning we talked about how you expected 15 Α Yes. 15 that Anstram was going to benefit in the tens of Which is different than the December 18th 16 16 millions of dollars from its agreement with Glenda, 17 17

signature on the other two liens, correct?

Correct.

18

19

20

21

24

25

Do you remember what the circumstances were when this was signed?

Likely the same circumstances.

Do you think you met at Nelson Snuffer and 22 signed it there? 23

> Yeah, I think so. Α

0 Because the same notary notarized your Α Correct.

correct?

0 Why would you be willing to give up that potential income and transfer the company to Roger Hamblin?

Well, I concluded that it would be nearly impossible to go forward without cooperation from Glenda and tangentially from Neldon. So I figured I wouldn't



18

19

20

21

22

23

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

87

88

85

be able to realize that without their cooperation.

- Was there an explicit or implicit threat that if you didn't transfer it to them it would be worthless because they wouldn't help you?
- I don't think there was a threat, but that's how I understood the situation.
- That if they asked you to transfer it and you refused, then the company would not have any value?
- It would be very difficult, if not impossible, I believe, to develop -- to finish the technology without their assistance.
 - Did that make you feel powerless?
 - I think I -- yeah, probably. Α
 - Was this after your tax court trial? 0
- I think it was but I don't remember exactly when that ended. I think it was.
- Did you feel like your tax court trial had gone well?
 - I did, as well as I could have ever hoped. Α
- Was there something about your tax court trial that made you think that the rights that Anstram had acquired were worthless?
 - No. A

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Is there something about your tax court trial that made you want to relinquish ownership of Anstram?

- Johnson, dated June 10 -- filed June 10, 2020, Document Number 937. If you would look at that and let me know whether you think you've seen that before.
 - I don't think so. I've not seen it.
- 5 0 This is a declaration that she signed on June 9th of 2020. And on the second page, if you look 6 at Paragraph 3A and 3B, 3A says: In approximately 7 October or November 2019 I decided to sell all, paren, 9 or any close, paren, right, title or interest that I had in any property, real property, contracts, or assets associated with Johnson Fresnel solar lenses. 11
 - B3 says: I contacted Preston Olsen and let him know of my intentions. He said he would be interested.

Is that consistent with your recollection?

Α

0 And then Paragraph 3C she says that he, meaning you, decided that a Nevis company would be the safest place to hold any right, title, or interest he would purchase for me.

Is that consistent with your recollection?

I think ultimately I decided after they had brought it up. I never heard of forming a company in Nevis before. But did a little research and it seemed like a good decision.

- Α No.
- 2 And how much did Roger Hamblin pay you for 0 3 Anstram?
 - Α I don't think he paid me anything.
 - Well, do you -- do you agree that it makes no economic sense for you to sell Anstram to Hamblin for no consideration when you had -- were expecting tens of millions of dollars worth of income?
 - I don't agree, no. Α
 - Tell me how you view it. 0
 - I just told you a few minutes ago. Without cooperation with from Glenda and Neldon, I don't think the company was worth anything.
 - When was your first discussion with Roger Hamblin about Anstram Energy?
 - First time I -- I'm trying to think when we met to sign those declarations at the law firm. I don't think -- that was one of the first times I had seen Roger since February, but I don't believe we discussed the company at that time. But if we did that would have been the first time.
 - I'm handing you what's been marked as 0 Exhibit 2206.
 - Α Okav.
- 25 0 Which is labeled Second Declaration of Glenda

- But the idea was first raised I think you said by Neldon Johnson, correct? 2 3
 - I think so, yes. Α
 - On the next page in paragraph 3J, Glenda Johnson says: I told Roger Hamblin about Preston Olsen, and Anstram Energy suggested he contact Preston Olsen.
 - Do you see that?
 - Yes. Α
 - Did Roger Hamblin ever contact you about buying Anstram Energy?
 - No. Α
 - How did the contact occur for you to start the process to you to sell Anstram Energy to Roger Hamblin?
 - I just mentioned, I think Glenda contacted me and asked if she could come by my home, which she had never done previously. And when she and Neldon came to my house, they asked me if I would transfer the company to Roger Hamblin and I agreed.
 - To your recollection, did you have any discussion with Roger Hamblin before the date that Glenda and Neldon Johnson came to your home?
 - Α
 - That was a badly worded question. 0 You don't recall any or there were none?
 - There were none. I had not talked with Roger



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

91

89

1	Hamblin	about	this.
_	I IMIIID CTIT	about	CIII I

I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver Exhibit 2177, which is dated February 29th. And it has Docket Number 937-2 filed June 10th of 2020.

Do you recognize this document?

6 I do.

2

3

4 5

7

12

13

14

15

19

23

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

15

16

20

24 25

- 0 Did you create this?
- 8 Α Yes.
- 9 0 Is this something you created because Neldon and Glenda Johnson told you that they wanted you to sell 10 Anstram to Roger Hamblin? 11
 - Α Yes.
 - Did you create this in advance or when they Q came to your house?
 - When they came to my house.
- Did you have any discussions with Roger 16 Hamblin before this date about the terms of the sale to 17 him? 18
 - Α No.
- 20 In the end of the first paragraph there is a blank line with handwritten "Roger Hamblin" there as 21 transferee. Do you see that? 22
 - Yes. A
- At the time you created this, did you know 24 0 25 that Roger Hamblin was going to be the transferee?

- when we met at the law firm.
 - "He" being Roger Hamblin?
- Yes. But I hadn't really thought about that Α but I think he did.
- At the time you drafted this, what was the other good and valuable consideration you had in mind?
 - I didn't have anything in mind.
- So is it a fair summary to say that after your effort in researching, creating a Nevis company, and forming it and then owning it for three months, you transferred it to Roger Hamblin and got \$10 out of it?
 - That's correct. Α
- 13 Were you happy about that? Q
 - Α
 - Did you express that unhappiness to Neldon or Glenda Johnson?
 - Α I'm not sure how I expressed it. I was surprised but I don't remember. I don't remember being angry with them.
 - 0 Did you ever get a copy of the agreement that had everybody's signatures?
 - Α I believe I did.
- 23 Do you recall when and how you got a copy with 24 everybody's signatures?
 - I don't recall. I think I asked Glenda for a

90

Α I did.

Why did you not type his name in there?

I'm not sure why I didn't type his name in there. I just left it blank. They told me that they would go get Roger to sign it.

- When you signed it, was Roger Hamblin's name 6 7 on there?
 - Α No.
 - Did Glenda sign it when she was at your house or subsequently?
- At my house, I believe, yes. I think she 11 12 signed it at my house.
- Did Glenda give you any money at the time you 13 signed this document? 14
 - Α No.
 - Have you gotten any money since then? Q
- 17 Α
- 18 And Hamblin has not given you any money,
- 19 correct?
 - Correct. Α
- So in the fifth paragraph: It says for \$10 21 and any other good and valuable consideration, the 22 transferor and transferee agree as follows. 23
 - You did not even receive a \$10, correct?
 - Actually, I think he may have given me the \$10

- copy -- I know I received one from her at some point. I don't remember if it was in person or email. 2
- I think you said this morning that you did not 3 give Roger Hamblin any documents relating to Anstram, correct?
 - Α That's correct.
 - You had no discussions with him about the assets and liabilities of Anstram, correct?
 - That's correct, yes. Α
 - Or of Glenda Johnson's role? 0
 - Correct. Α
- 12 So is it fair to say that any information Roger Hamblin has about Anstram would have had to come 13 from Glenda Johnson and not you? 14
 - Α Yes.
 - This is Exhibit 2180, which is declaration of Glenda Johnson filed May 14, 2020, Docket Number 925.
 - Review that and let me know if you think you've ever seen it.
 - I've never seen it. Α
 - If you turn to Paragraph 2E and F on page 2, Glenda Johnson indicates that on May 5th she reached out to Preston Olsen one or two times. He signed the liens on behalf of Anstram Energy, LLC at issue in the receiver's affidavit of noncompliance, which were



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

93 directed to be released by the Court in Order Dock 920. 1 2 Paragraph F says: I was unable to speak with 3 Preston Olsen. Do you see that? 4 5 Yes. Α Do you have any recollection of whether Glenda 6 Johnson tried to contact you on May 5th? 7 I have no recollection of her contacting me. 8 9 Do you recall her ever asking you to sign a release of liens? 10 No. I would have done it if she asked me to. 11 12 0 Well, on May 5th of 2020 could you have 13 released the liens? No, I couldn't have. 14 Α On May 5th did Glenda know that you had 15 transferred Anstram Energy to Roger Hamblin? 16 17 Yes. Do you have any idea why in this declaration 18 she says that she tried to contact you about releasing 19 the liens? 20 I don't, no. 21 Α Paragraph 2G she says: I was -- start over. 22 I knew that Preston Olsen was planning to sell 23 his interest in Anstram Energy, LLC to Roger Hamblin. 24 25 Do you see that? 94 1 Α Yes. 2 Is it accurate for Glenda Johnson to say in May of 2020 that she knew that you were planning to sell 3 your interest in Anstram Energy? 4 Probably not. It was already done. 5 Have you had any discussions with Glenda at 6 all about her wanting your help to get liens released? 7 8 Α 9 Have you had any discussion with her at all about why she would represent to the Court that she 10 tried to get a release of liens from you and was unable 11 12 to contact you? No. 13 Α 14

And phone. 1 2 For Glenda specifically? 3 Or Neldon. Q 4 Okay. Specifically on releasing liens. Α 5 Correct. 6 Yeah, I have no recollection of that. Α This declaration from Glenda Johnson is dated 7 0 May 14th. Were you aware that she was submitting declarations to the Court and a receiver had filed a 9 10 notice of noncompliance? No. I was aware that declarations were being 11 12 submitted because I submitted one, but I didn't look at 13 hers or anything. Did you know what had triggered their request 14 15 for your declaration? 16 I'm not exactly sure what triggered it, but I 17 assumed it had to do with the liens that Anstram Energy put on the properties. 18 19 I'm handing you what's been marked as receiver Exhibit 2179, which is a court order dated May 5th of 20 21 2020, Document Number 920. 22 Do you believe you've seen this before? 23 I don't think so. Α 24 If you'll look -- okay. That's all on that 0 25 document. 1 I'm sorry, let's go back to that document. 2 Α Look in Paragraph 21 if you would on page 5. 3 0 It states: Glenda Johnson violated the corrected receivership order by filing the tower property lien, 5 Millard County lien, Payson lien, Texas lien, and the 6 Wings West lawsuit. Do you see that? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Were you aware that the Court had found that she had violated the receivership order by filing liens? 10 11 Α 12 On the next page under the order section, Paragraph 2 says: Glenda Johnson shall release the 13 Payson lien, the Texas lien, and Millard County lien 14 within three days of the entry of this order. 15 16 Do you see that? 17 Α 18 Were you aware that she had been ordered to 19 release those liens?

95

96

If she had tried to reach out to you on

May 5th via email or phone or text, would you have a record of it?

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

I don't know if my texts and voice-mails are saved back that far. But if they were, they would be.

Can I ask you to check to see?

Sure. Α

You can do it another time.

22 Α Okay.

> 0 Let's add it to the list.

It would only take a few minutes. Check texts 24 A 25 and email.

which is Court Order Document Number 933 dated June 4th

And that was on May 5th of 2020. So then we

I'm handing you what is receiver Exhibit 2181,

talked about her declaration, which was dated May 14th

I was not.

or nine days after that.

Α

0



20

21

22 23

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

99

of 2020. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you seen this document before?

- I don't think so. I only hesitate because I know that -- I'm sorry, your counsel -- you forwarded me a document, but I don't remember which one it was. I don't even know if I opened it.
- If you turn to page 4, it states that in the second full paragraph: In order to Glenda Johnson to establish her present and ability to comply with the order by no later than June 10, 2020, she must file a declaration detailing: A, her history of dealings with Preston Olsen and Roger Hamblin; B, any known history of Olsen and Hamblin with any of the defendants or affiliated entities in this case; C, all last known historic contact information for Olsen and Hamblin, as well as additional information listed there.

Do you see that?

- Yes. A
- Were you aware that she had been ordered to file a declaration and provide that information?

I don't think I was aware of the details, but I'm sure this is why her counsel called me to -- well, I'm not sure. I'm guessing that this is why her counsel called me. And I'm sure that on the phone he mentioned some of this.

Were you aware the Court had declared those liens invalid?

I became aware this week when your counsel --I think this is the document he forwarded to me.

- Did you ever have any discussions with Neldon or Glenda Johnson about the liens being declared invalid?
 - Α No.
- 0 Did you ever have any discussions with Roger Hamblin about the liens being declared invalid?
 - Α
- 0 If you would turn back to Exhibit 2178, which is contempt order.
 - Sorry, I'm not seeing it. I see 2179. Α
 - I think it's in this stack.
 - Α
- So this is in the stack that we provided for 0 18 you. Let me start over.

19 When you met with Neldon and Glenda Johnson on 20 February 29th of 2020, were you aware that the Court had already held Glenda Johnson in contempt? 21

- Α
- 23 I'm handing you Exhibit 2178. And if you 24 would read out loud Paragraph 45.
 - "The Court will address the merits of

98

100

- But he did not send you a copy of this order?
 - Not that I remember.
- What was her deadline by the Court to provide 3 that information? 4
 - Want me to read it? Α
 - Q Well, do you see the deadline was June 10th?
 - Α
- 8 Do you recall when you signed your 9 declaration?
 - Not without looking. Α
 - You can look. Q
- 12 Okay.

This is dated June 9th.

- 0 And then filed on June 10th, correct?
 - Α
- I'm handing you what's been marked as Receiver Exhibit 2186, which is Memorandum Decision and Order dated August 6, 2020, Document 984.

If you turn to the last page, page 25, Paragraph 1 of the order says: The liens that Glenda Johnson granted to Anstram Energy on the properties in Utah County, Utah, Millard County, Utah, and Howard County, Texas are invalid, void and have no effect. Do you see that?

Α Yes.

- ownership of the Utah County and Millard County real properties in response to the motion for turnover already filed. But even if she believed that her claim to ownership should prevail, Glenda's action to file these liens violates the asset freeze, the CRO, and the affiliate's order."
- Do you understand that CRO references corrected receivership order?
- I didn't know that but thank you. But she intended to interfere with the receivership through unilateral action rather through allowable legal processes.
- Were you aware the Court had made that finding about Glenda?
 - Α
- Have you taken any actions relating to Anstram Energy since February 29, 2020?
- The only thing I did was forward the email to -- that I received to register the company or to keep the registration current.
- So on February 29th when you created the agreement to transfer Anstram to Roger Hamblin, did you notify anybody in Nevis about the transfer?
 - Α
 - 0 So even though you had signed over Anstram to



Preston Olsen * 35eptember 04, 2020

101 103 Roger Hamblin, did the Anstram registered agent in Nevis other than purchasing lenses? 1 2 know that transferred occurred? 2 None that I can think of. Only if Roger notified them. 3 Have you ever paid Neldon Johnson any monies 3 And how would Roger have known to notify them? other than monies you paid to the company for the 4 4 All the documents -- Glenda had all the 5 purchase of lenses? 5 6 company documents. I assume that she provided them to 6 Α Nothing else. Has Neldon Johnson ever paid you any monies? 7 Roger. 7 0 Would Glenda have had any authority to notify 8 8 Α No. 9 the registered agent that ownership had changed? 9 0 Has any of his companies? 10 I don't know. 10 No, unfortunately. Α Did you have any communications with the Since August 22nd of 2018, so after the trial, 11 11 registered agent after February of 2020? have you taken any actions regarding the solar lenses or 12 12 solar technology? 13 No. 13 A But you indicated that you received 14 Just the tax court trial, I guess. I don't 14 Α information about some invoices that were due? 15 15 know. There was some information about the preparing Have you gone to -- onto any of the properties 16 16 to register -- to continue the registration fees. 17 listed in the receivership order? 17 And so did you -- what did you do with that 18 I don't think so. 18 Α 19 19 Have you gone onto either of the properties information? 0 that have solar towers? 20 I forwarded it to Glenda and said: You should 20 forward this to Roger. I didn't have his email address. 21 21 Α 22 0 Have you -- I think mentioned you went to 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you had any communications with Mr. Hamblin about whether or not he paid those fees?

Yes. As I mentioned at the very beginning, this -- I want to say this week I called him and that

0 Was that after August of 2018? 102

I did, yes.

was one of the things I brought up on the phone. I just asked him if he had received the email.

Have you had any other business dealings with Roger Hamblin other than with Anstram Energy?

> Α No.

0 Either before or after Anstram?

Α

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What other dealings have you had with Glenda Johnson other than with Anstram?

Only to the extent she was involved with the sale of the lenses perhaps and possibly IAS before that.

Have you had any contracts or agreements between you and Glenda Johnson other than her employment with Anstram?

Α No. And obviously the assignment-correlating documents.

> 0 Has Glenda Johnson ever paid you any monies?

Α

Have you ever paid her any monies other than for purchases of lenses?

The lenses is the only thing.

Do you think those payments were to her individually or were to one of the companies?

> They were to the companies. Α

0 What dealings have you had with Neldon Johnson

That would have been -- that would have been I'm guessing January of this year or December of last.

Neldon and Glenda Johnson's house in Payson, correct?

You also mentioned that you had seen the new turbine that was being built at the manufacturing place.

You went there and saw the turbine, correct?

Α Yes.

Α

And that was in 2019? 0

I think so, yes.

9 Have you used -- have you been anywhere else where that turbine was? 10

> No. Α

I'll represent to you that that turbine was delivered to Neldon Johnson's home in Payson. Have you been to his home in Payson when the turbine was there?

No, not when it was there.

Have you used any tools or equipment or testing equipment relating to the solar scheme since August of 2018?

> Α Nope.

Do you have possession of any monies, equipment, solar components, records, or vehicles that once belonged to Neldon Johnson or people and companies associated with him?

Α

0 Do you know where any monies, equipment, solar



Case 2:15-cv-00828-DN-DAO Document 1055-2 Filed 12/29/20 PageID.28052 Page 28 of Preston Olsen * 3 September 04, 2020 105...

	105			107
1	components, records or vehicles are that Neldon Johnson	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	or his companies had?	2	STATE OF UTAH)	
3	A No. Other than the ones I've seen at the	3 4	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)	
4	places in Delta I guess.	5	I, Heidi Hunter, RPR, CCR, for the state	
5	Q Have you destroyed any of your own records	6	of Utah.	
6	since January 22nd of 2018 about these companies?	7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken	
7	A No.	8	before me at the time and place set forth in the	
8	Q Mr. Olsen, those are all the questions that I	°	caption hereof; that the witness was placed under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing	
9	have. And I want to give you an opportunity if you'd	9	but the truth.	
		10	That I thereafter transcribed my said	
10	like to make any other statements or clarifying		shorthand notes into typing and that the typewritten	
11	statements if you think there's anything that you would	11	transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and accurate transcription of my said shorthand	
12	like to clarify from our earlier discussion.	12	notes taken at said time.	
13	A I can't think of anything.	13	I further certify that I am not a relative	
14	Q Do you want the opportunity to read and sign		employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties	
15	the transcript?	14	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the	
16	A Yes.	15	parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the	
17	MR. KLEIN: That's all I have and I appreciate		action.	
18	you coming in and we're off the record.	16	Beesi Henter	
19	(Concluded at 2:00 p.m.)	17	Heidi Hunter, RPR, CCR	
20		18 19	Heldl Hunter, RPR, CCR	
21		20		
22		21		
23		22		
24		23 24		
25		25		
	106			
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT Case: USA v Rapower-3, et al			
2	Reported by: Heidi Hunter, RPR, CRR			
3 4	Date Taken: September 4, 2020 WITNESS CERTIFICATE			
5 6	I, Preston Olsen, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing			
	transcript; that I have read the transcript and know			
7	the contents thereof; that with these corrections, I have noted this transcript truly and accurately			
8	reflects my testimony.			
9 10	PAGE-LINE CHANGE-CÓRRECTION REASON			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18	I, Preston Olsen, deponent herein, do			
19	hereby certify and declare, under penalty of perjury the within and foregoing transcription to be true			
	and correct. hereby affix my signature to said			
20 21	deposition.			
	DATE Preston Olsen			
22	Subscribed and sworn to before me this			
23	day of, 2020.			
24 25	Notary Public			
/)				



\$ \$10 81:12,14 90:21,24,25 91:11 \$15,000 10:4 \$2 78:7 \$3,500 26:11 \$50 28:7 54:4 55:21 63:21 65:10,13 \$80,000 10:10 \$9 18:2 1 1 67:14,15 98:20

10 41:4,24 42:19 43:11 47:23 50:22 87:1 97:10 10th 44:13 89:4 98:6,14 11 35:6 69:3 12:28 82:1 13 35:6 14 79:5 92:17 14th 15:9 95:8 96:22 15 15:19 47:23 69:4,11,18 70:7,11 76:16 15th 15:10 16 22:24 40:2 18 13:19 79:16 18th 71:19 72:13 82:16 19 67:6 77:8 1:18 82:1 1st 11:25

2

2 23:4 49:20 53:10 67:12

71:18 75:13,18,25 77:11 78:6 79:9 82:13 92:21 96:13 20 41:9 2009 6:14,25 7:15 9:25 10:2,5 18:14 2018 11:24,25 13:1,7,16 14:7 38:17 103:11,25 104:18 105:6 2019 11:11 14:11 15:9,10 16:20 17:25 20:17 26:3 27:1 30:23 31:2 37:10,23 38:14 42:6,10 49:22 51:8 52:9 53:8 67:6 71:19 77:8 87:8 104:7

2020 14:17,20 22:24 34:14

89:4 92:17 93:12 94:3 95:21

96:21 97:1,10 98:18 99:20

44:13 79:5 82:14 87:1,6

100:17 101:12

21 39:25 96:3 2160 67:3 2170 77:6 2171 79:4 82:12 2174 15:8 16:10 2175 22:18 24:10 2177 89:3 2178 99:12,23 2179 95:20 99:14 2180 92:16 2181 96:24 2186 98:17 22 12:25 13:7 39:25 2204 6:2 2205 44:8 2206 86:23 22nd 13:25 103:11 105:6 25 69:7 98:19 25th 14:10 26:3 26 67:6 69:7 29 100:17 29th 89:3 99:20 100:21 2:00 105:19 2:15-cv-828 12:1 2E 92:21 2G 93:22 3

3 57:3 308 41:7 309 34:21 35:6 39:25 40:1 31st 11:24 3A 87:7 3B 87:7 3C 87:17 3J 88:4

4

3rd 34:14

4 16:23 59:24 60:11 61:19 97:7 413 13:7 444 12:24,25 45 99:24 4th 96:25

5

5 24:20 34:21 96:3 5-acre 77:20 5.25 78:13 50 41:5,10 55:16 62:19 65:6 5th 92:22 93:7,12,15 94:15 95:20 96:21 6 6 77:18 98:18 608 79:16 6th 14:20 7 701 14:10 8 8 23:4 801-706-4114 5:14 9 920 93:1 95:21 925 92:17 933 96:25 937 87:2 937-2 89:4 937-3 44:12 947 14:20 973 34:12 984 98:18 9th 82:14 87:6 98:13

Α

A-N-S-T-R-U-M 23:25

ability 61:14 81:3 97:9 access 39:9 accomplish 55:24 account 8:7 accounts 32:21 accuracy 44:21 75:14 accurate 4:25 44:18,22 94:2 accused 14:22 acquire 20:25 21:3 53:24 54:8 acquired 29:20 30:1 31:14 85:22 acquiring 20:22 50:7 acres 78:13 79:16 act 30:15,24 31:6 action 100:4,11

actions 100:16 103:12 actual 57:6 add 14:14 94:23 additional 58:13 97:16 address 19:20 99:25 101:21 addressed 22:25 Administration 22:20 advance 22:3 46:9 89:13 advancements 6:15 affect 5:2 61:14 affidavit 92:25 affiliate's 100:6 affiliated 8:21 97:14 affirming 76:1 affixed 76:14 affixing 75:18 agent 9:14 22:15 25:10 26:15 101:1,9,12 agree 70:21,23 71:5 78:25 80:14 86:5,9 90:23 agreed 71:13 83:8 84:13 88:18 agreement 25:8,13 28:13 62:11,12,21,24 63:10,22 64:11.25 65:5.12 73:2 83:19,21 84:1,17 91:20 100:22 agreements 11:18 29:17 31:12 33:1 62:13 102:12 ahead 4:12 allowable 100:11 alternative 56:3 Amended 77:18 amount 28:6,8 35:1 41:3, 10,13,15 54:3,4 77:2 81:9, amounts 61:21,22,24 68:14 71:12 73:21 75:19 angry 91:19 annual 9:7 Anstram 20:12,13 21:1 22:4 23:10,19 24:7 25:2,5, 15 26:9,25 27:1 28:10.17 29:12,15,20 30:2,3,13,16, 19.22,24 31:7,9,19,22 32:18 33:9 34:8,22 35:17 36:7,10 37:7 38:7,25 39:9,14,22 40:13.15.18.20.22 41:1.11. 15 42:5 43:19 45:16 53:23 54:1,8,10,16 55:15 57:13 58:4,13,19,20 59:24 60:3,8, 19,20 62:15,16 63:1,18,23 64:9 65:1,9,11,14,17,18,21 66:1,7,10,14 67:15 68:4,10 69:25 70:7.11 71:8 73:7 74:8 75:20 76:3 78:23 81:20 83:13,17,22 84:16 85:21,25

86:3,6,15 88:6,10,13 89:11



92:4,8,13,24 93:16,24 94:4 95:17 98:21 100:16,22,25 101:1 102:4,6,9,14 Anstram's 61:14 64:13,16 83:22 Anstrum 23:24 answers 4:25 5:2,8 anticipate 52:2 54:24 55:4 anticipating 56:10 anticipation 56:22 anymore 53:14 appeal 51:18 appearance 4:13 appeared 35:12 81:19 appearing 5:24 applied 6:17 appointed 43:15 52:10 approach 55:6,7 56:12 approached 20:21 approaching 56:1,5,10 approximately 22:3 49:22 51:10 87:7 April 13:16 area 47:8,10 arrange 7:9 arranged 21:16 arrangements 72:16 arrived 46:14,16,20 articles 24:10,20 assembled 71:3 assert 69:25 81:2 asserting 70:6,7,11 asset 12:25 38:6,17 48:24 55:17 61:2,7,10 74:18,20,23 75:1 100:5 assets 14:23 30:1 31:10,11, 14 32:25 35:17 41:14 43:3 45:16 51:22 52:11,12 53:13, 24 74:25 78:22 87:10 92:8 assign 31:19 66:21 assigned 29:18 31:22 32:8, 21 37:6 73:2,7 74:8 75:20 78:22 81:20 assigning 28:4 assignment 32:9 36:13 40:20 41:14 62:14,23,25 63:17 67:18,23,24,25 68:1,4 73:2 assignment-correlating 102:15 assignments 73:5 assigns 63:22 assist 27:20 assistance 85:11

assume 38:14 50:24 101:6 assumed 20:10 57:1 80:18 95:17 attach 70:14 75:23 attached 76:8 attempt 73:17 attend 13:10 attended 11:3 13:14 attorney 5:19 15:1 19:2 21:22 44:24 45:8 attorney's 20:5 21:17 29:6 50:5 auction 16:7 August 12:25 15:9,10 16:20 38:17 98:18 103:11,25 104:18 authority 17:7 30:15,18,24 31:6 101:8 Automated 7:16 8:4 16:4 17:1 42:3 80:19 avoid 5:7 aware 9:4 11:20 13:5,19,21, 22 14:2,6,16,25 15:13,19 16:3,6,8,19 23:25 24:1 25:6,

В

7,9,17 32:10,12 33:4 42:10,

13 43:6 50:14 51:11 61:1

18 97:19,21 99:1,3,20

100:13

69:20 75:1,2 95:8,11 96:9,

B3 87:12 back 21:10 26:19 40:7,11 47:15,16 59:5 65:2,20 66:8, 11,17,22 82:12 94:18 96:1 99:12 background 6:18 badly 88:23 bank 32:20 based 16:16 75:20 basically 47:16 basis 62:3,7 bear 63:22 beginning 101:24 behalf 4:15 17:7 28:17 30:16,24 31:6 54:10 92:24 belief 62:7 believed 100:3 belonged 52:5 104:22 belonging 76:20 bench 14:1 benchmarks 63:13 64:16 beneficial 35:12 benefit 84:16 benefits 66:7

bind 30:19 bit 45:20 84:12 Black 8:23,25 blank 89:21 90:4 bones 63:22 bottom 68:17 bought 8:8 10:1 12:24 breach 64:22 break 5:10,11 77:5 81:25 briefly 29:10 brokerage 8:7 brought 24:2 87:23 102:1 build 38:9 43:25 53:20 55:3 61:25 built 38:12,14 43:21,22 71:3 77:16 104:4 business 7:23 33:5 53:13 54:21 55:2 56:7,13 102:3 buy 9:24 buying 7:13,17 10:8 21:12 24:21 88:10 C

call 10:24 15:25 19:7,9 21:14 45:3,4,18 51:7,11 58:16 called 4:4 8:23 15:20 19:4, 8,11 21:11 23:24 45:10 49:17 50:4 97:22,24 101:25 canceled 8:11 capital 35:20 36:7 54:13 55:2,4 56:8,9 card 26:24 case 12:1,24 97:14 cash 35:4 cetera 68:21 change 59:12 changed 31:2 101:9 **charge 27:17** check 57:23 59:21 94:19,24 circumstances 10:22 82:19,21 cite 15:19 **civil 12:1** claim 16:25 81:3 100:3 claimant 67:15 **clarify 105:12** clarifying 105:10 **clerk 79:5** close 15:17 87:9 closely 6:8,15 50:21 commissions 10:18

communications 101:11, companies 8:17,20 9:15,22 10:15 24:5 102:23,24 103:9 104:22 105:2,6 company 7:4,21 9:5,8,10 17:16,19,22 19:19 20:13 21:2,4 22:6,9,11 23:9,11,13, 14,16,24 24:8,17,21 25:13 26:1,18 27:16,17,19,25 31:4 35:4 42:8 52:11 60:6 81:5, 14 84:7,12,21 85:8 86:13,20 87:18,23 88:17 91:9 100:19 101:6 103:4 company's 56:22 compensate 69:14 compensation 9:20 complete 4:25 53:20 55:14 76:7 completed 38:2 65:13 75:23 comply 97:9 component 66:2 components 104:21 105:1 concentrated 48:3 concluded 51:14 84:23 105:19 conclusion 13:24 condition 32:18 conduct 33:5 conducted 33:8 conference 11:4 47:15,18, 19 consideration 38:18 86:7 90:22 91:6 consistent 87:15,21 constitute 64:22 construct 29:11 31:12 constructed 37:10 69:18 70:15 77:3 construction 17:18 40:23 41:16 62:6 68:11,13 69:14 71:13,14 75:21 contact 50:2,3,11 57:6 58:10 88:6,9,12 93:7,19 94:12 97:15 contacted 23:10 24:17 44:24 49:23 50:19 84:9 87:12 88:14 contacting 93:8 container 37:12,13,19 contempt 14:6,10,17,22,25 15:3 99:13,21 continue 20:23 35:7,13,18 38:19 39:1,22 51:5 54:10,12 65:11,15 66:12 101:17

communication 8:1



continuing 27:20 48:25 65:19,22

contract 17:18 25:10,12 32:14 41:2 63:20 64:21 67:19

contractor 17:22

contracts 11:18 20:22 29:15 30:19,20 40:20 42:23 43:14 50:16 53:13 60:18 61:24 62:5,8,9 68:10 71:12 73:4,6 74:7,11 75:11 80:3 81:19 87:10 102:12

contractual 27:19 54:4 control 14:23 16:6 32:19 43:4 51:23 52:11 73:18,25 75:4

controlled 27:10 conventional 36:3 39:7 conversation 19:15,23 47:25

convey 65:1

cooperation 84:24 85:1 86:12

copies 6:9 11:23 12:20 23:8,9 25:21 30:4 73:4,5,10, 11,12

copy 6:5 12:6,7 13:2,6 14:9, 12,19 15:5 26:5 28:15,19 29:4,5,21 30:7 31:24 46:8 63:5 68:6,7 76:6 81:23 91:20,23 92:1 98:1

corporate 23:1,2 25:4,21 26:5

correct 6:5 15:24 26:20 43:17 46:22,23 47:1 52:15 54:16 55:17 59:10,15 62:20 63:2 65:8 67:1,16 72:14 73:10 76:5 79:13 81:24 82:17,18 84:18,19 88:2 90:19,20,24 91:12 92:5,6,8, 9,11 95:5 98:14 103:23 104:5

corrected 11:24 12:11,13, 18 49:4 96:4 100:8

correctly 67:21

cost 26:14

costs 26:8,10

counsel 4:9 24:3 97:4,22, 23 99:3

county 15:9 67:6 76:20 77:7,13 79:4,5 96:6,14 98:22,23 100:1

court 12:8 14:22 24:2 34:13,18 40:14 42:15 51:19 85:14,17,20,24 93:1 94:10 95:9,20 96:9,25 98:3 99:1, 20,25 100:13 103:14

courts 35:15

create 6:9 57:18 60:23 65:21 66:5 89:7,13

created 28:24,25 36:22 37:12 42:5 43:12 45:23 47:9 57:20 62:10 64:3 89:9,24 100:21 creating 91:9 credit 26:24 CRO 100:5,7 current 31:6 100:20

D

data 76:7 date 73:16 88:20 89:17 dated 11:24,25 12:25 13:7 14:10,20 15:8 22:24 77:8 87:1 89:3 95:7,20 96:22,25 98:13,18 day 14:2 days 7:17 25:25 57:7 96:15, 23

deadline 98:3,6 deadlines 64:18

dealings 11:14,21 97:11 102:3,8,25

decade 33:17

December 63:8 64:8 67:6 71:19 72:13 77:8 82:16 104:2

decide 66:3

decided 21:2 51:19 87:8, 18.22

decision 60:2 87:25 98:17 declaration 44:11,17,23 45:12,13,14,24 46:2,12,18 49:11,19 53:11 57:3 86:25 87:5 92:16 93:18 95:7,15 96:22 97:11,20 98:9

declarations 86:17 95:9,11 declared 99:1,6,10

default 64:23

defendants 97:13

defense 13:19,20

define 27:17

delivered 104:13

Delta 11:6 15:15,17 18:16 37:2,20,21 105:4

demand 16:24

Denver 21:23,24 44:25 45:2 46:6 72:21

depending 36:2

deposed 5:3,5 19:12

deposition 6:2 19:11,25

describe 6:11

descriptions 71:25 75:24

desire 51:3

destroyed 105:5 detailed 63:15 detailing 97:11 details 14:3 59:11,15 97:21 determine 73:23

develop 20:23 39:1 51:5 54:10,13,14,22 55:1,19 62:17 65:15,19 85:10

developed 52:14 54:5 55:9 62:18 65:7,9,20

developing 7:22,24 33:13, 17,20 34:2 35:13,18 39:22

development 6:12 15:21 27:20 28:3 38:20 39:6 52:2 54:15,24 55:8,11 56:3 63:14,19 66:2,5 76:24 78:21,24

Diego 23:17 difficult 85:9 directed 93:1 direction 56:24 57:1 59:12

director 9:14

discovered 44:20 discuss 50:6 61:20

discussed 21:15 33:1 34:22 47:9,24 48:3 58:22 60:12 68:2 73:3 75:10 76:24 84:10 86:19

discusses 35:7 40:2

discussion 4:18 21:7 27:22,24 32:4 44:5 47:21 49:8,10 51:2 52:9 58:18 60:15,20 61:5,13 81:7 86:14 88:20 94:9 105:12

discussions 89:16 92:7 94:6 99:5,9

distance 15:18 Dock 93:1

Docket 13:7 14:10,20 89:4 92:17

document 6:5 12:9,23,24 16:9 22:23 25:4 29:23,24 31:15,21 44:8,12,15 63:3,25 64:3 67:7,24 68:4,23 69:9 72:5,25 75:18 76:6 78:8,9, 16 79:6 84:14 87:1 89:5 90:14 95:21,25 96:1,25 97:2,5 98:18 99:4

documents 6:10 13:5 22:18 23:1,2 25:14,21 26:5, 18 28:9,12 29:7,8,9,10,25 30:4,9 48:11 57:19 62:10 81:22 92:4 101:5,6 102:16

dollars 53:22 66:24 84:17 86:8

draft 24:13 46:2,13,21 47:3, 6,7,9,12

drafted 24:16 84:14 91:5

due 101:15 duly 4:4

Ε

earlier 19:3 49:25 53:15 65:4 73:3 105:12

early 7:17

economic 22:21 86:6

Ed 4:12

Edwin 4:14

effect 75:8 98:23

effort 54:19 91:9

efforts 73:18,23 75:4

elapsed 57:5,12

elected 13:20

electronic 29:5

email 5:15,16 19:18,20 29:1 45:3 92:2 94:15,25 100:18

101:21 102:2 emailed 46:8

emails 59:16,18,21

employee 27:11,12,15 28:10 30:6,18,21 34:22,25 35:3 56:21 72:19

employees 27:9 30:17

employer 9:14

employment 5:18 28:10,13 62:12 83:25 102:13

encourage 12:21

end 89:20

ended 14:4 52:10 85:16

energy 20:12,13 23:10,19, 25 24:7 25:15 30:16,19,25 31:19 33:10,13 34:8,9,23 35:18 38:7 39:22 40:13,15, 18 41:1 45:16 53:23 54:1,8, 11,16 56:3 59:25 60:3 62:15,17 63:1 65:1 66:14 67:16 70:11 71:8 76:3 83:13,17 86:15 88:6,10,13

92:24 93:16,24 94:4 95:17 98:21 100:17 102:4 enforce 30:14

engage 24:21 engineers 54:14

enormous 53:19

entail 27:24

entered 38:13,16 51:12 74:14

entire 12:9 26:14 67:7 entities 23:17 29:18 70:20

97:14 entity 20:14,24 40:7,11,12 50:18 52:14 55:13 58:7

50:18 52:14 55:13 58:7 60:17 63:9 66:6,13 68:12 80:22



entry 96:15 equipment 104:16,17,21,25 erect 17:12,22 erected 70:15 78:1 erecting 17:15 81:6 errors 12:14 establish 97:9 estate 14:24 24:22 25:2 45:17 60:12,16,24 61:2,6, 15,20 65:2 74:21 **Estates 77:18** estimate 10:7,10 11:10 estimating 57:15 event 64:22 events 47:2 everybody's 91:21,24 exact 10:9 18:4 28:6,8 41:3 **EXAMINATION 4:7** examined 4:5 excerpt 34:13 exchange 36:10 59:18 exchanged 59:16 exchanger 36:15 exchangers 39:7 exchanging 35:23 exclusive 43:3 exhibit 6:2 15:8 16:10 22:18 24:10 34:12 44:8 67:3 69:5,8 71:23 77:6 78:12 79:15.16 82:12 86:23 89:3 92:16 95:20 96:24 98:17 99:12,23 exhibits 68:18,20,21,24 69:3,4,12 70:13,14 76:2,8, 14,17 77:4 exist 64:19 existed 29:13,14 expect 39:20 42:7 62:25 68:24 expectation 42:21 43:16 66:1,10,21,24 76:13 expected 66:14 84:15 expecting 86:7 experience 33:9,11,12,14 explain 7:5,11 45:13,22 explained 30:11 45:15 46:1 68:9 explaining 49:23 explicit 85:2 explore 32:16 express 91:15 expressed 75:3 91:17 extent 73:17 102:10

F

fabrication 76:23 facilitate 27:18 facilities 10:25 18:15 fact 40:2 fair 52:8 58:14 91:8 92:12 fall 17:23 22:1,2 familiar 8:20 14:3 34:18 71:16 family 9:21 77:1 80:21,25 February 34:14 84:9 86:19 89:3 99:20 100:17,21 101:12 fee 26:12 feel 85:12,17 feeling 4:22 feelings 62:2,3 fees 26:16,21,22 101:17,23 felt 61:21,22 figure 4:16 18:4 29:3 figured 84:25 file 17:8 71:16 75:22.24 97:10,20 100:4 filed 16:20 24:18,25 44:12 70:18 76:5 79:4,23 80:10,14 81:7 87:1 89:4 92:17 95:9 98:14 100:3 files 23:1 filing 26:12 68:13 73:24 74:3 96:5,10 final 47:4 finance 5:23 22:20 financial 32:18 find 12:15 23:12 54:14 74:2 finding 14:9 56:6 100:13 findings 13:25 fine 6:8 finish 55:2,8 85:10 firm 5:20.21 23:15 58:25 72:6 86:17 91:1 firm's 83:7 firms 56:8,9 five-page 79:6 flesh 59:11,15 flow 35:4 follow 6:15 form 21:1,2,4 22:9,11 23:10,17 24:17 53:23 60:2,3 65:13 formation 30:22

formatting 12:13

formed 7:15 20:16,18,24

21:5 22:4,6 23:24 24:6 26:2,

25 27:3,14 33:6 57:13 58:4, 7,14 60:18,21 63:9 64:9 65:17 forming 26:8 58:19 59:24 60:6 87:23 91:10 forward 19:21 50:6 66:6 84:24 100:18 101:21 forwarded 19:21 97:4 99:4 101.20 found 14:22 22:16 96:9 fourth 24:9 81:8 Frank 5:17 Frederick 4:21 freeze 12:25 38:17 48:24 61:3,7,10 74:18,20,24 75:1 100:5 frequently 50:25 Fresnel 87:11 front 16:11 fuel 39:7 fuels 36:4 full 4:20 97:8 fully 71:3 fund 56:2 funded 38:21 funding 38:19 55:10 future 30:14 54:5 63:19 65:1 66:21 G

garage 48:12,21

gas 39:8

gave 25:23 26:24 29:10 47:11 52:23 generate 51:5 give 4:20,25 5:8 15:5 25:18, 21 26:5 38:18 52:17 65:10, 19 84:20 90:13 92:4 105:9 giving 11:23 Glenda 10:20 14:6,16,21 16:20 17:4,14 19:21 20:21 21:8,11,18 23:21 25:23 26:22 27:11 28:19,25 29:11, 19 30:1,5,10,15 31:13,16,19 33:12 34:1,22 36:15 37:6 39:21 41:13,16 42:2,6,14,18 43:10 47:14,22 49:10,23 50:4,9,15,19 52:5,12,16 53:5,11,18 54:1,10,12 55:20 56:17,20,22 58:3,11,17 59:3,9,17,22 60:9,13,23 61:6,21,23 62:2,4,5,11,18, 21 63:1,18 64:4,12 65:2,6, 10,12,17,20,22 66:3,8,11, 17,22 67:18 68:4 69:14 70:14 71:11,15 72:9,18 73:4 74:7,10,17,19,21 75:20,21 76:13,21 77:20 80:2,24

81:13,18 83:6,19,22,23 84:9,11,17,24 86:12,25 88:4,14,21 89:10 90:9,13 91:16,25 92:10,14,17,22 93:6,15 94:2,6 95:2,7 96:4, 13 97:8 98:20 99:6,19,21 100:14 101:5,8,20 102:8,13, 17 103:23 Glenda's 19:1 31:12 36:13 40:19 42:6 44:24 50:7 56:18 65:19 70:20 74:25 83:25 100:4 Glenn 36:18 good 87:25 90:22 91:6 grant 81:4 granted 13:20 31:16 98:21 guess 10:23 11:1 26:10 29:25 30:5 48:11 51:1 63:8 76:22 103:14 105:4 guessing 97:23 104:2

Н

Hamblin 18:6,23 26:6 31:5 47:17,18 83:16,18 84:22 86:2,6,15 88:5,9,13,18,20 89:1,11,17,21,25 90:18 91:2,11 92:4,13 93:16,24 97:12,13,15 99:10 100:22 101:1,23 102:4 Hamblin's 20:7 90:6 Hamlin 31:5 handed 6:1 handing 12:23 14:19 15:7 22:17 34:11 44:7 67:2 77:5 79:3 86:22 89:2 95:19 96:24 98:16 99:23 handwritten 28:22 89:21 happen 76:4 happened 47:2 58:9,20 59:12 72:24 happening 45:23 51:1 happy 26:19 50:8 66:5 91:13 hard 12:15 HD-4658-1 16:15 head 8:24 hear 14:21 18:8 heard 8:21,22 18:9,12 24:3 38:18 80:22 87:23 heat 35:23 36:15 39:6 heating 36:10 held 9:4 14:6,16,25 15:3 53:12 58:24 99:21 helped 47:3 helpful 39:12 helps 50:1 hesitate 97:3



historic 97:15 history 97:11,12 hold 53:24 87:19 home 15:18,23 29:7 77:1, 22,23 78:2,3 88:15,21 104:13,14 honestly 43:13 49:17 75:10 honor 43:14 hoped 85:19 house 48:10,13 84:11 88:17 89:14,15 90:9,11,12 103:23 Howard 79:5 98:22 huh-uh 5:9 hundreds 53:22

ı

IAS 7:18,20,23 9:4 10:11 17:5,8 39:11 42:7,10,13,18, 19,25 43:3,12,21 51:17,22 102:11 idea 21:1.4 65:9 78:4 88:1 93:18 identical 12:12 identified 46:21 71:10 74:22 identifies 74:23 78:12 79:15 identifying 68:24 implementation 56:21 implicit 85:2 implying 34:1 important 31:11 impossible 84:24 85:9 impression 52:17,24 improper 79:1 improperly 80:14 include 26:12,15 70:4 included 24:23 46:17 61:2 68:10 including 63:16 67:19 income 84:21 86:8 incorrect 41:13 independent 83:9,11 individually 102:23 industry 33:10 information 12:11 46:11,17 64:13 75:14 81:17 92:12 97:15,16,20 98:4 101:15,16, initial 13:6 58:10,16 initially 55:15 injunction 13:6 inside 37:12

intellectual 29:19 31:13,16, 22 52:5 60:19 73:5 intended 100:10 intending 38:25 intent 73:20 intentions 87:13 interest 53:12,18 80:25 87:9,19 93:24 94:4 interested 20:22,24 21:12 49:24 50:12 53:11 55:10 57:4 58:11 87:14 interfere 73:18,24 100:10 interfering 14:22 75:3 International 7:16 8:3 16:4 17:1 42:3 80:19 internet 22:10.16 interrupt 45:5 introduced 6:13,20,22 7:2 18:15 invalid 98:23 99:2,7,10 investors 55:8 56:2.6 **invoices 101:15** involved 26:8 57:2 102:10 involvement 6:12 20:8,9 involving 67:20 Iron 37:16 IRS 24:2 **Island 22:19** issue 15:3 92:24 issued 13:25 14:1 items 73:6

J

January 79:5 82:14 83:4 104:2 105:6 Johnson 4:15 6:24 7:7,11 8:18,21 9:21,22 10:20 11:15,21 13:11 14:6,16,21 16:20 17:4,14 20:3,8 21:7 34:22 37:9 42:2,14,18 43:10.24 49:23 51:23 53:18 54:2 61:21,23 62:2,4,11,18, 22 63:1 64:12 67:18 72:9 74:17,22 76:21 77:21 80:21, 24,25 81:13 83:19,22 87:1, 11 88:2,5,21 89:10 91:16 92:14,17,22 93:7 94:2 95:7 96:4,13 97:8 98:21 99:6,19, 21 102:9,13,17,25 103:3,7 104:22 105:1 Johnson's 6:12 7:4 9:14 92:10 103:23 104:13 Johnsons 6:13

Judge 13:25

July 14:17,20

June 13:7,19,25 14:6,10

22:24 44:13 87:1,6 89:4

96:25 97:10 98:6,13,14 jurisdictions 23:18 Κ kind 5:22 30:18 Kitco 37:16 KLEIN 4:8,12,16,19 44:4,6 81:25 82:4 105:17 knew 15:2 51:19 52:9 74:13 93:23 94:3 knowledge 6:11 15:15

L

17:10 20:18 32:7 33:18,19,

24 36:21 77:25 80:24

labeled 68:20 86:25 labor 16:24 17:10,12 18:3 Lagrand 11:14 land 77:2 large 77:2 late 17:23 19:3 22:2 law 5:22 23:15 32:16 53:2,3 58:25 72:6 83:7 86:17 91:1 lawful 35:8 39:1 lawsuit 96:7 leans 60:24 leaving 18:17 left 90:4 legal 9:17 71:24 75:23 100:11 Lehi 11:4 length 71:4 lens 20:8 lenses 7:3,11,13,17 9:24 10:3,8,17 20:11 35:21,25 36:2 87:11 102:11,20,21 103:1,5,12 letter 22:25 letterhead 22:19 liabilities 33:3 92:8 licensing 36:5 lien 15:8 16:20,24 17:8 30:13 39:21 40:3,6 60:20 61:15 67:5,14 68:8,14,16 70:6,7,11 71:10,15 73:17 75:15 77:7,14,25 78:19,25 79:4 80:14 81:4,9 82:9 96:5, 6,14 liened 70:22,24 71:6 liens 30:10 45:17 60:12,15 61:17,20 69:13,25 70:17,21 71:13 73:14.24 74:3 75:8.22 76:3 79:23 80:10 81:6 82:17 84:3 92:23 93:10,13,20

94:7,11 95:4,17 96:10,19

98:20 99:2,6,10 100:5 Limited 80:21,25 lines 35:6 39:25 61:11 list 94:23 listed 74:17 97:16 103:17 lists 69:6 78:13 live 77:21 LLC 20:15 24:7 92:24 93:24 locations 15:14 loq 12:8 long 19:15,16 27:17 47:21 63:25 64:2 77:9 looked 9:12 22:10 38:3 lot 19:18 41:19 77:18 loud 99:24 lunch 77:5 81:25 82:5,8

М

machine 37:14,25 38:4,6,8, 10,12,15 machines 76:23 made 10:5 16:25 27:11 43:14 46:22 48:1,17 71:5 72:16 79:25 85:21,25 100:13 make 4:13 13:5 25:20 46:14 57:18 60:2 69:22 75:7 85:12 105:10 makes 44:21 86:5 man 6:22 managed 8:18 27:4,5 manager 9:13 27:4 30:3 managers 27:1 manufacturing 104:4 marked 6:1 15:7 22:17 34:11 44:7 67:2 77:6 79:3 86:22 89:2 95:19 98:16 market 8:8 materials 16:24 17:11 18:3 Matt 7:9 matter 82:6 Matthew 6:22 7:2 meaning 87:18 meant 32:11 35:11,16 75:17 measure 64:16 mechanic's 30:13 39:21 81:9 mechanics 75:22 79:23 mechanics' 30:10 medications 4:24 5:1 meet 6:24 7:1 10:20 19:2 21:16 50:5,8 72:21 83:7,8 84:6,8



meeting 7:10 19:5 21:16,25 23:20 52:25 53:1 57:5,6,8, 11,13,15,19 58:1,12,13,18, 21,23 59:1,6,8,11,13,17 60:4 72:24 73:1,3 83:5,10 meetings 58:3,22 59:20 61:2 83:12 member 27:2,4,5 members 9:21 27:6 Memorandum 98:17 memorialize 62:10 mentioned 11:5 88:14 97:24 101:24 103:22 104:3 merits 99:25 met 7:5 10:21,23 11:16,22 18:10,17,18 20:5 21:8,16 29:6 47:8 52:22 58:5,6,25 60:18 71:11 82:22 86:17 91:1 99:19 Midvale 21:17 Millard 15:9 67:5 76:20 96:6,14 98:22 100:1 million 18:2 28:7 41:4,5,10, 22 42:19 43:11 54:4 55:21 62:19 63:21 65:10,13 78:7 81:12,14 million-dollar 55:16 65:6 78:6 millions 53:22 66:16,20,24 84:17 86:8 mind 28:7 91:6,7 Ministry 22:20 minutes 19:16 47:23 86:11 94:24 **missing 12:16** model 48:11 money 32:23 38:9,22 40:7, 11,15,17,19,21 42:1,7,9,14, 22,24 43:21,22,25 44:2 54:6 56:2 70:7 90:13,16,18 monies 43:7.19 78:23 102:17,19 103:3,4,7 104:20, month 19:1 20:5 22:5 57:14 months 15:1 91:10 morning 84:15 92:3 motion 100:2 Mountain 77:18 move 66:6 moving 50:6 MP 80:21,25 Ν

named 6:22 names 8:22 natural 39:8 needed 39:21 45:14 49:11, 14,18 Neldon 4:15 6:12.24 7:4.7. 11 8:17,21 9:14,21,22 10:24 13:11 20:2,4,8 21:5,7,8,18 22:11 23:21 29:17 31:15 33:16,21,23 34:7 43:24 47:14,16,21 48:18,25 49:10 50:4,9,19,23 51:16,23 52:16 53:5 56:24 57:1 58:10,17 59:2,5,9 60:5,8,9,13 61:5 71:11 72:11,18 76:20 77:20 83:6 84:11,25 86:12 88:2, 16,21 89:9 91:15 95:3 99:5, 19 102:25 103:3,7,23 104:13,22 105:1 Neldon's 21:21 52:12 Nelson 23:24 37:9 46:6,20 47:5 53:3 57:8,19 58:2,12, 18 72:7,16,19 82:22 83:2 Nevis 20:13,15 21:4,6 22:6, 9,13,19 23:15 60:6 87:18,24 91:9 100:23 101:1 newer 37:14,22 Night 8:23 9:1 noncompliance 92:25 95:10 notarized 82:25 notary 72:19 82:25 note 25:20 82:2 notes 57:18,20,21 notice 6:2 12:22 15:8 16:20,23 17:8 19:10,24 67:5.14 68:8.16 71:10.15 75:14 77:6 79:4 82:8 95:10 notified 101:3 notify 100:23 101:4,8 November 11:25 20:17 26:3,25 30:22 31:2 33:6

Nuffer 13:25 number 5:13,14 10:3,9 13:7 14:10,20 16:16 41:2 44:12

42:6,10 51:8 52:9 53:7 87:8

14:10,20 16:16 41:2 44:12 78:13 87:2 89:4 92:17 95:21 96:25

numbers 12:15

0

objective 62:16 obligation 42:14 55:20 obligations 63:11 67:19 83:23 observing 33:20,22 obtained 38:19 occur 52:3 54:15,25 88:12 occurred 50:2 101:2 October 11:24 38:17 51:9 52:9 53:7 57:16 58:2 87:8 off-record 4:18 44:5 office 7:5,10 20:5 21:17 29:6 32:2,5,9 45:11 46:5 47:16 50:5 officer 9:13 offices 53:2,3 72:6,17 83:8 Olsen 4:3,9,20,21 6:3 82:5 87:12 88:5,6 92:23 93:3,23 97:12,13,15 105:8 open 8:8 opened 32:21 97:6 operating 25:8 53:21 opportunity 7:3,6 105:9,14 opposed 59:13 oral 13:25 order 11:23,25 12:12,13,15, 19,22,25 13:6 14:1,7,9,12, 19 35:18 38:13,16 39:22 42:15 43:2,5,6,17 48:24 49:4 51:12,22 66:19 74:4, 13,18,23 75:2,9 93:1 95:20 96:5,10,12,15,25 97:8,10 98:1,17,20 99:13 100:6,8 103:17 ordered 96:18 97:19 organization 24:10,20 original 12:12 owed 40:7,11,15,17,19,22, 25 41:10,15,16 42:1,9,23 43:19 61:21,22,24 62:2,3 70:7 71:12 75:20 79:24 owing 30:11 42:23 68:15 71:15 73:21 74:12 78:23 owned 8:3,18 9:3 16:3,5 17:16 25:2 27:7 31:9 36:7, 12 53:15 74:16,21 76:3 owner 9:13 30:3 55:15 80:16 ownership 85:25 100:1,4 101:9 owning 91:10

Ρ

P-R-E-S-T-O-N 5:16

p.m. 105:19
pages 22:18,23,25 23:4
24:9 34:12 64:1 67:6,10,11
71:22 72:2 76:11 77:8 78:9
79:8,12
paid 26:21,22,23 28:2,5
35:1 41:13 42:25 44:2 54:5,
6 63:19 68:12 86:4 101:23
102:17,19 103:3,4,7
Palace 11:2
paragraph 12:15 16:23
24:20,22 49:20 53:10 57:3
59:24 60:11 61:19 67:15
81:8 87:7,17 88:4 89:20

90:21 92:21 93:2,22 96:3,13 97:8 98:20 99:24 parcel 16:15,16 77:20 parcels 15:16 69:5,6,12,22 71:4,5 paren 87:8,9 part 13:14 25:12 61:11 62:23,25 65:25 66:5 74:9, 10,19 75:1 78:9,10,22 partially 77:3 participate 7:3 parties 63:11 partnering 55:11 Partnership 80:22,25 passionate 48:22 patent 32:2,5,7,9,16 patents 9:3 36:5 Paul 21:23 44:25 45:7 46:11 47:8 49:11,15,16 52:23,25 72:21 pay 35:5 42:7,14 54:1 62:18 65:5 86:2 paying 66:11,19,23 payment 43:7 payments 102:22 Payson 77:20,22 78:25 80:8 96:6,14 103:23 104:13, pending 5:10 people 50:25 54:14 56:12 104:22 performance 64:19 periodically 5:12 person 21:21 92:2 personal 5:14,16 phone 5:13 19:4 45:3,4 50:4 84:10 94:15 95:1 97:24 102:1 photovoltaic 48:4,19 pile 6:9 13:4 14:5,14,15 place 57:11,16 87:19 104:4 places 105:4 Plaintiff 34:12 plan 54:21 55:2 56:7,14,22 66:2 planned 55:19 planning 22:21 56:5 93:23 94:3 plants 53:21 Plat 77:18 pocket 66:23 point 9:2 11:4 65:1 92:1 portion 43:2 position 17:4



possession 50:16 104:20 possibly 30:14 50:6 102:11 potential 84:21 power 36:3 53:21 powerless 85:12 **practice** 5:22,23 preceded 74:8 predated 74:11 preparation 26:18 prepared 46:13 71:15 preparing 56:13 101:16 present 82:3 97:9 Prestige 23:13 preston 4:3,21 5:16 6:3 87:12 88:5,6 92:23 93:3,23 97:12 prevail 100:4 prevented 48:25 previously 7:25 29:13,14 34:11 48:5 76:7 88:16 price 10:4 primarily 31:11 33:22 34:6 35:22 primary 31:14 prior 75:11 priority 43:7 private 55:7 proceedings 34:19 process 55:23 58:9 88:13 processes 100:12 produced 9:8 progress 48:2 50:24 64:13, 16 prohibited 35:15 prohibition 75:3 project 20:8 31:13 36:2 63:19 project's 55:11 projects 28:3,4 34:8 49:1 54:5 55:3 65:14,16 66:15, prompted 68:8 prompting 51:3 properly 70:22 properties 39:10,15,19,20, 24 40:8 67:20 68:17,25 69:18,20 70:1,3,8,12,17,21 71:14,16,17 73:19,25 74:16 75:5,22,24 76:1,5,16,19 79:24 80:10 95:18 98:21 100:2 103:16,19

property 11:11 16:1,3,7,14, 15,18 17:11 21:12 29:19

54:22 60:19 69:5,6,23 73:5

76:2 77:13,15,24 78:21,25

31:13,16,22 43:20 52:5

79:14,19,21 80:11,16,20 81:4,15 82:9 87:10 96:5 provide 64:21 97:20 98:3 provided 16:25 17:11 18:2 99:17 101:6 public 5:23 7:21 16:7 publically 9:4 pull 82:12 purchase 10:4,17 87:20 103:5 purchased 8:7,14 20:11 purchases 10:5,18 102:20 purchasing 7:3 103:1 purpose 19:9 40:6 78:18 put 10:24 13:4,20,21,22 14:5 46:12 56:7 95:18

Q

quarterly 9:8 question 32:11 40:5 44:21 75:15 88:23 questions 5:10 105:8 quickly 77:4

R

R&d 16:1,19 raise 35:20 36:7 54:13 55:2 raised 55:5 88:1 raising 17:17 Randale 11:21 Rapower 7:14,15,18 10:12 13:11 39:10 51:17,23 reach 94:14 reached 83:6 92:22 read 9:7,9 12:9,21 13:8 34:16 43:2,5 46:21 49:3,5 62:1 67:21 98:5 99:24 105:14 reading 14:15 43:16 real 24:22 25:2 39:10 45:17 60:12,16,24 61:2,6,15,20 65:2 74:21 87:10 100:1 realize 85:1 reason 4:24 recall 8:25 10:21,22 12:4 13:1,8 18:17,18 21:13,25 23:11,21 31:15 37:23 45:25 46:12,24 50:9 52:19,23 58:23 59:1,5,16,18,19 63:16,17 64:11,15,24 67:9, 10 72:4 88:24 91:23,25 93:9

receive 10:18 65:12 90:24

received 9:20 12:6,7 19:10,

18,22 23:9 25:25 67:18 92:1

100:19 101:14 102:2 receiver 6:2 15:7 16:6 22:17 43:4,8 44:7 51:24 52:10,11 67:2 77:6 79:3 89:2 95:9,19 96:24 98:16 receiver's 73:18,25 75:4 92:25 receivership 11:12,23,25 12:12,13,14,19 14:7,24 38:13,16 42:11,18,25 43:2, 5,6,12,15,17 48:24 49:3,4 51:12,22 52:6,14 61:12 73:22 74:4,9,10,11,13,18,22 75:2,9,12 96:5,10 100:8,10 103:17 receivership's 14:23 recess 82:1 recognize 16:15 44:8 79:19 89:5 recollection 18:11 36:14 50:1 58:8 60:5 65:5 76:10 79:11 83:9 87:15,21 88:19 93:6,8 95:6 recommend 10:17 record 4:17 44:4 82:2 94:16 105:18 recorded 15:9 32:1,5 39:21 67:5 76:14 Recorder 15:10 77:8 recording 45:16 76:7 77:7 records 71:9 104:21 105:1, recover 42:19 recovered 43:8 reference 41:9,12 referenced 79:19 81:21 references 100:7 referencing 67:25 68:1 reflected 69:12 reflecting 31:21 refresh 50:1 refused 85:8 register 22:15 26:15 100:19 101:17 registered 25:10 32:8,9 101:1,9,12 registration 100:20 101:17 related 24:5 relates 16:19 relating 25:14 28:9 57:19 76:20 92:4 100:16 104:17 release 93:10 94:11 96:13,

released 93:1,13 94:7

releasing 93:19 95:4

relinquish 85:25

remember 10:1,3 11:12 15:4 18:4 19:5 21:14,15,21 23:12 27:13 28:1,6,8 41:3,6, 20,21 45:1 46:25 47:1,2 49:7,12,17,18 53:14 54:3 59:14 63:7 64:1,7 72:1,23 73:15,16 82:9,19 83:1,4,6 84:5,10 85:15 91:18 92:2 97:5 98:2 reminder 5:7 renewal 19:19 repay 55:20 rephrase 75:15 reports 9:7,8 represent 16:18 69:2,11 77:19,24 80:20 94:10 104:12 represented 4:9 45:7 81:18 representing 32:15 request 16:25 95:14 research 9:11 15:20 74:2 76:24 87:24 researching 91:9 resources 35:17 response 100:2 responsible 17:17 56:21 result 51:21 resulted 40:21 retain 66:7 revenues 51:6 review 24:18 26:19 29:7 47:12 48:11 92:18 reviewed 29:10,25 73:8 revised 47:12 rights 20:22,25 21:3,12 27:19 28:3 29:11,12 30:12, 14 31:16,22 32:7 36:5,15,18 37:5 39:14,18 40:20 49:24 50:7.15 52:12 53:12.18 54:2 62:14 63:22 64:12 67:19 68:9 69:25 81:2 85:21 Roger 18:6,23 19:22 20:7 26:6 31:4 47:17 83:16,17 84:21 86:2,14,19 88:5,9,13, 18.20.25 89:11,16,21,25 90:5,6 91:2,11 92:4,13 93:16,24 97:12 99:9 100:22 101:1,3,4,7,21 102:4 role 17:14 27:25 28:2 30:17 34:1 92:10 roles 27:15,18 30:6 room 11:4 47:15,18,19 run 18:21 running 37:3



S

safest 87:19 salaries 35:5 sale 89:17 102:11 sales 24:21 Salt 11:2 San 23:17 Sandy 21:18 saved 94:18 scheme 104:17 SEC 9:12 section 43:7 64:23 96:12 secure 61:21 68:14 select 22:15 selected 23:19 sell 51:3 84:7 86:6 87:8 88:13 89:10 93:23 94:3 selling 49:24 50:12 53:12 seminar 10:24 11:2,3 19:6 seminars 18:21,22,24 send 9:10 57:25 98:1 sense 14:21 57:12 86:6 sentence 60:11 61:19 separate 26:13 68:3 serial 78:13 set 34:5 57:4 shareholder 9:6 shares 8:14 Shepard 6:23 7:2,9 shipping 37:12,13 shock 84:12 shop 37:15,25 38:15 show 71:9 showed 46:20 48:5,12 showing 29:17 71:12 sign 15:2 19:2 20:6 29:7,23 30:20 44:23 45:12,14,21 68:8 70:9 86:17 90:5,9 93:9 105:14 signature 44:14 67:12 75:13,17 77:11 79:9 82:17 signatures 91:21,24 signed 28:14,17 44:21 47:12 63:7 64:5,7 68:23 70:6,10 71:18,21 72:4,5,25 73:14,15 76:11 78:8,16 79:12 82:13.20.23 84:14 87:5 90:6,12,14 92:23 98:8 100:25

significant 41:2

signing 44:20 75:25

similar 20:10 simple 63:17,18,22 84:14 simply 33:20 single 27:2 77:1 site 11:5,8 15:21,23 16:1, 19,21 18:3 42:9 50:25 sites 11:6 70:20,23,25 71:7, 10 76:23 81:6 sitting 47:7 situation 85:6 skills 33:19 34:1,5,6 skimmed 12:9 Snuffer 21:23,24 22:11 44:25 46:5,6,20 47:5 57:8 19 58:2,12,18 72:7,17,19,22 82:22 83:2 Snuffer's 53:3 solar 6:12 7:11,13,22,24 15:15.20 20:8 33:15 35:18. 21,25 36:1,3 39:2,3,4,5 78:1 87:11 103:12,13,20 104:17, sold 8:13,15 16:7,8 83:17 sole 55:15 solved 12:18 sons 33:17,21,23 34:7 sort 7:25 56:21 sound 13:16 71:19 sounds 13:18 source 39:7 sources 55:10 speak 82:5 93:2 spearheading 54:18 specific 79:21 specifically 28:1 59:19 74:17,22 95:2,4 specifics 49:18 specifies 64:12 spent 10:8 spoken 18:23,25 spring 31:3 33:6 48:17 stack 99:15,17 stage 58:9 stamp 77:7 start 88:12 93:22 99:18 starting 40:2 stated 64:8 statement 15:2 19:3 20:6 63:18 statements 75:7 105:10,11 states 12:24 13:11 34:13 96:4 97:7

stating 33:25 43:3

Statistics 22:20 Steven 21:23 44:25 45:7 46:11 47:8,11 49:11,15,16 52:23,25 72:21 stock 8:3,6,8,10,13,14 **strike 15:13 Subdivision 77:19** subject 12:22 52:6,13 61:6, 10 74:18,23 76:3 77:14,25 subjects 76:2 submitted 95:12 submitting 95:8 subsequently 90:10 substantial 18:5 successful 56:4 suggest 60:23 suggested 21:5 23:21 60:5,8,10 88:6 summarize 52:7 summarized 52:20 summary 52:8 91:8 suppose 29:18 surprise 45:18 50:11 surprised 70:17,19 91:18 sworn 4:4 system 48:19 Systems 7:16 8:4 16:4 17:1 42:4 80:19 Т taking 4:24 talk 19:24 38:19 47:13 50:23 56:20 63:10,13 talked 18:12,19 20:2,4 62:12 84:15 88:25 96:22 43:7 63:20 67:25 82:8 67:23 tangentially 84:25

38:25 49:25 53:15 58:16,17 talking 5:8 6:10 40:12 41:4 talks 43:19 53:10,11 59:24 tank 48:4 tax 24:2 34:13,18 40:14 85:14,17,20,24 103:14 technical 6:18 33:24 34:1 technically 37:21 technological 52:2 technologies 36:12 technology 6:14,16,21 7:22,24 8:1 9:3 20:23 27:21 29:11 31:17 33:13,15,18,20 34:2 35:8,11,14,19,23,24 36:11,16,19 39:1,2,3,4,5,23 50:6,13,22,24 51:5 52:4,13 53:20 54:2,9,22 55:3,8,20

62:17 65:2,6,10,11,15,18,20 66:8,15,20,25 85:10 103:13 telephone 5:13 49:13 tens 66:15,20,24 84:16 86:7 terms 12:22 64:25 89:17 testified 4:5 testimony 34:13 40:14 testing 104:17 Texas 79:5,14,23 80:1,5,11, 13,17,20 81:15 82:9 96:6,14 98:23 text 94:15 texts 94:17,24 Thanksgiving 11:4 thick 14:15 thing 100:18 102:21 things 45:22 48:2 51:1 73:6 102:1 thinking 55:14 thought 27:18 31:11 32:13 36:12,17,20 40:19,23 42:3, 8,22 43:13 48:20 52:4,12 53:15,21 54:13 55:1,6,7,12, 23 56:7 73:20 74:7,9,11,12 75:11,12 81:5 91:3 threat 85:2,5 time 7:4 10:21 13:20 14:17 18:9 20:2,4 24:1 26:1 31:9 32:21 34:25 42:5 46:1 47:13 48:10 51:7,10,11 52:8 54:6 57:5,12 58:6 61:1 70:10 71:21 72:22 73:8,14 86:16, 20,21 89:24 90:13 91:5 94:21 times 11:8,10 18:10 19:5 29:6 50:23 58:5,7 86:18 92:23 title 53:12,18 87:9,19 titled 80:21 today 4:10,22,25 5:24 6:10 told 9:2 17:23 46:16 52:19, 21 55:25 80:2,9 84:5 86:11 88:5 89:10 90:4 tools 104:16 top 8:24 total 10:7 69:4 tour 18:20 19:5 tours 10:25 18:15,18,22,24 tower 11:6 16:19,21 18:3 78:21,24 96:5 towers 17:13,15,17,22 40:24 41:17 43:21,23,25 61:25 62:6 68:11,13 69:15, 17,21,23 70:1,4,15,18,22 71:1,2 75:21 77:2,16 78:1 79:22 80:1,5,8,13 81:6 103:20

transcript 34:16 105:15



transfer 27:19 28:3 45:16 50:17 60:18 65:18 66:4 84:12,14,21 85:3,7 88:17 100:22,23 transferee 89:22,25 90:23 transferor 90:23 transferred 19:19 29:15 30:12,23 31:4 33:7 55:13 58:20 65:21 66:8,13,16 68:10 91:11 93:16 101:2 transferring 30:2 trees 15:15,20 trial 13:7,10,24 14:2,4 24:2 40:14 48:14,15,16 51:14,16, 19 52:10 85:14,17,20,24 103:11,14 triggered 95:14,16 Trust 23:13 trusted 80:2 turbine 35:24 36:19,22 37:5,9 38:20,23 39:6 104:4, 5,10,12,14 turn 92:21 97:7 98:19 99:12 turnover 100:2 type 20:14 90:2,3 typewritten 28:22,23 68:17

U

uh-huh 5:9 59:23 75:16

ultimately 84:13 87:22

U.S. 32:1

unable 93:2 94:11 underlying 83:18 understand 6:16 33:14 55:23 66:1 75:17 78:18 100:7 understanding 17:16,21 32:17 37:5,8 42:17 48:6,9, 23 51:21 54:9 69:17 70:14 75:19,25 77:15 78:20 79:22 81:13 understood 76:4 85:6 undertake 73:23 unfavorable 51:16,20 unhappiness 91:15 unilateral 100:11 United 12:24 13:10 34:13 unrelated 24:6 urge 49:3 Utah 77:7,13 98:22 100:1

٧

valid 61:17 75:12 Valley 37:15

valuable 90:22 91:6 valued 66:15 vehicles 104:21 105:1 venture 56:8,9 verifying 75:14 version 37:14 47:4 viable 35:12 view 86:10 violate 74:3 75:8 violated 96:4,10 violates 100:5 violating 14:7 visit 11:5,9 visited 50:25 76:16,19,22 visits 11:6 voice-mails 94:17 void 98:23 volume 4:17 voluntarily 5:24

W

W-2 35:3 wages 69:9 waiting 47:8,10 Wall 4:14 82:2 **Walls 82:2** wanted 50:5 51:4 66:4 89:10 wanting 94:7 website 9:12 12:8 week 19:3,4,7 99:3 101:25 west 37:15,21 77:18,20 96:7 Wings 96:7 witnesses 13:21,22 worded 88:23 work 9:17 30:11 40:7,21 42:9 43:20 44:2 48:25 56:13 68:12 69:14 70:19,23,25 71:6,9,17 75:22 79:22,23,25 80:4,7,9,13 81:3,6,14 worked 7:25 33:16 working 36:22 38:23 48:2, 6,18,20 worth 18:2 53:21 63:20 66:20 78:3,5,7 81:14 86:8, 13 worthless 85:3,22

written 14:1 19:2 25:12

28:9 62:22 63:3 68:3

X

X@gmail.com. 5:17

Υ

year 11:10 17:24 22:2 31:3 48:18 104:2 years 29:19 50:22

